arXiv:1607.00979v1 [math.CA] 4 Jul 2016

MAXIMAL OPERATORS OF EXOTIC AND NON-EXOTIC LAGUERRE AND OTHER SEMIGROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH CLASSICAL ORTHOGONAL EXPANSIONS

ADAM NOWAK, PETER SJÖGREN, AND TOMASZ Z. SZAREK

Abstract. Classical settings of discrete and continuous orthogonal expansions, like Laguerre, Bessel and Jacobi, are associated with second order differential operators playing the role of the Laplacian. These depend on certain parameters of type that are usually restricted to a half-line, or a product of half-lines if higher dimensions are considered. Following earlier research done by Hajmirzaahmad, we deal in this paper with Laplacians in the above-mentioned contexts with no restrictions on the type parameters and bring to attention naturally associated orthogonal systems that in fact involve the classical ones, but are different. This reveals new frameworks related to classical orthogonal expansions and thus new potentially rich research areas, at least from the harmonic analysis perspective. To support the last claim we focus on maximal operators of multi-dimensional Laguerre, Bessel and Jacobi semigroups, with unrestricted type parameters, and prove that they satisfy weak type $(1,1)$ estimates with respect to the appropriate measures. Generally, these measures are not (locally) finite, which makes a contrast with the classical situations and generates new difficulties. An important partial result of the paper is a new proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for the classical multi-dimensional Laguerre semigroup maximal operator.

1. Introduction

Given a parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the Laguerre differential operator

$$L_\alpha = -x\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - (\alpha + 1 - x)\frac{d}{dx}$$

acting on functions on the positive half-line $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0,\infty)$. There is a natural measure μ_α in $\mathbb{R}_+$ associated with L_α,

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}\,dx,$$

which makes L_α formally symmetric in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$. This is immediately seen from the factorization

$$L_\alpha f(x) = -\big(x^\alpha e^{-x}\big)^{-1}\frac{d}{dx}\Big(x^{\alpha+1}e^{-x}\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\Big).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ the subspace of those $f \in L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ for which $L_\alpha f$ exists in the weak sense and is in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$, that is the distribution $L_\alpha f$ is represented by a function that belongs to $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$.

When $\alpha \geq 1$, the operator $L_\alpha^{\mathrm{cls}} = L_\alpha$ (here "cls" stands for "classical") considered on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} L_\alpha^{\mathrm{cls}} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is self-adjoint. Its spectral decomposition is given by the classical Laguerre polynomials L_n^α, $n = 0,1,2,\ldots$, which form an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$; one has $L_\alpha L_n^\alpha = nL_n^\alpha$. In fact, the self-adjoint operator L_α^{cls} is characterized by

$$L_\alpha^{\mathrm{cls}} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\,\big\langle f, \breve{L}_n^\alpha\big\rangle_{d\mu_\alpha}\,\breve{L}_n^\alpha,$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 42C10; secondary 42C05, 42C99.
Key words and phrases: Laguerre operator, Laguerre polynomials, Laguerre expansions, Jacobi operator, Jacobi polynomials, Jacobi expansions, Bessel operator, Hankel transform, maximal operator, weak type estimate.

and its domain $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ coincides with the subspace of all $f \in L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ for which this series converges in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$; here $\breve{L}_n^\alpha = L_n^\alpha / \|L_n^\alpha\|_{L^2(d\mu_\alpha)}$ are the Laguerre polynomials normalized in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$.

The situation is somewhat different when $-1 < \alpha < 1$. The self-adjoint operator L_α^{cls} and its domain are still given by the spectral decomposition in terms of Laguerre polynomials, as above. But the domain is smaller than $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$, since a boundary condition must be imposed; more precisely

$$\mathrm{Dom}\, L_\alpha^{\mathrm{cls}} = \big\{ f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha : \lim_{x\to 0^+} x^{\alpha+1} f'(x) = 0 \big\}. \tag{1}$$

Actually, (1) describes $\mathrm{Dom}\, L_\alpha^{\mathrm{cls}}$ for all $\alpha > -1$, since the boundary condition is automatically satisfied for $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ in case $\alpha \geq 1$. All this is well known, see [26, 27] and references given there. Harmonic analysis related to the (self-adjoint and non-negative) 'Laplacian' L_α^{cls}, $\alpha > -1$, in particular Laguerre polynomial expansions, has been extensively studied in one or more dimensions by various authors; see e.g. [18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50].

However, much less has been done in case $\alpha \leq -1$. The reason is that in this range of the type parameter α, the system of Laguerre polynomials is no longer contained in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ and, consequently, no self-adjoint operator can be defined directly via the L_n^α in the same spectral manner. Nevertheless, as discovered by Hajmirzaahmad [26], there is another complete orthogonal system in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$, involving the Laguerre polynomials, and this allows one to pursue the matters in the 'exotic' case $\alpha \leq -1$. The details are as follows.

Assume that $\alpha \leq -1$. Then $L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}} = L_\alpha$ has domain $\mathrm{Dom}\, L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ and is self-adjoint (here "exo" stands for "exotic"). Its spectral decomposition is given in terms of the system $\{x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha} : n \geq 0\}$ which is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$. We have $L_\alpha(x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha}) = (n-\alpha) x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha}$ and

$$L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) \left\langle f, x^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha} \right\rangle_{d\mu_\alpha} x^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha}; \tag{2}$$

$\mathrm{Dom}\, L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}}$ coincides with the subspace of all $f \in L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ for which the above series converges in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$; notice that $x^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha}$ is the normalization of $x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha}$ in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$.

In fact, the spectral formula (2) defines the self-adjoint operator L_α^{exo} as long as all $x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, remain in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$, that is precisely for all $\alpha < 1$. Then L_α^{exo} is identified with L_α understood as a differential operator acting on the domain

$$\mathrm{Dom}\, L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}} = \big\{ f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha : \lim_{x\to 0^+} [x f'(x) + \alpha f(x)] = 0 \big\}.$$

The boundary condition here is automatically satisfied in case $\alpha \leq -1$. Observe that in the overlapping range $-1 < \alpha < 1$ the self-adjoint operators L_α^{cls} and L_α^{exo} are different unless $\alpha = 0$. Furthermore, L_α^{exo} is non-negative if and only if $\alpha \leq 0$ and strictly positive when $\alpha < 0$.

There is also a nice probabilistic background of these considerations, see [12, Appendix 1]. Indeed, both $-L_\alpha^{\mathrm{cls}}$, $\alpha > -1$, and $-L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}}$, $\alpha < 0$, generate semigroups in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ that are transition probability semigroups for linear diffusions known as the Laguerre processes. The process related to L_α^{exo} is submarkovian, due to the nature of the left boundary point $x = 0$. In particular, in the overlapping range $-1 < \alpha < 0$, this boundary point is reflecting in the case of L_α^{cls} and killing in the case of L_α^{exo}. For $\alpha \geq 0$ the left boundary cannot be reached by the Laguerre process and thus does not belong to the state space. A more precise description of the boundary behavior is the following (see [12] for the terminology): $x = \infty$ is always a natural point, but the nature of $x = 0$ depends on α and it is entrance-not-exit for (classical) $\alpha \geq 0$, exit-not-entrance for (exotic) $\alpha \leq -1$, non-singular reflecting for non-exotic $-1 < \alpha < 0$, and finally non-singular killing for exotic $-1 < \alpha < 0$.

The principal aim of this paper is to initiate the study of the 'Laplacian' L_α^{exo}, as well as its counterparts in other settings, and the associated orthogonal expansions from a harmonic analysis perspective. This environment is different from and more complicated than the well-studied classical case of L_α^{cls}, since for $\alpha \leq -1$ the measure μ_α is not finite near $x = 0$. More precisely, in the metric measure space $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mu_\alpha, |\cdot|)$ there are balls near the origin of infinite measure and arbitrarily small radii (here $|\cdot|$ stands for the Euclidean distance). Therefore many standard technical tools, and even intuition, fail in this context.

Our main result pertains to a general d-dimensional, $d \geq 1$, self-adjoint 'Laplacian' $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$ emerging from summing the action of $L_{\alpha_i}^{\mathrm{cls}}$ or $L_{\alpha_i}^{\mathrm{exo}}$ in each coordinate; now $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a multi-parameter. We prove that the maximal operator of the semigroup generated by $-\mathbb{L}_\alpha$ satisfies the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate with respect to a measure which is the tensor product of the one-dimensional μ_{α_i}, see Theorem 3.1. This implies, in particular, the almost everywhere convergence for the semigroup to initial values taken from $L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$. We emphasize that even in the classical multi-dimensional situation, when $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$ corresponds to $\sum_{i=1}^d L_{\alpha_i}^{\mathrm{cls}}$, proving weak type $(1,1)$ of the maximal operator is a complicated task. The first proof was delivered by Dinger [18], and another one more recently by Sasso [49] under the restriction $\alpha \in [0,\infty)^d$. Our methods also lead to a new complete proof of this result, simpler than the existing ones; see Theorem 2.1. These new arguments are no doubt of independent interest.

It is remarkable that, in much the same spirit, exotic 'Laplacians' occur in numerous other settings well known in the literature, like those of Laguerre functions, Jacobi trigonometric polynomials and functions and Fourier-Bessel systems, just to mention a few. In this paper we investigate only two further important instances related to the Bessel and Jacobi differential operators

$$B_\nu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\nu+1}{x}\frac{d}{dx},$$
$$J_{\alpha,\beta} = -(1-x^2)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \big[\beta - \alpha - (\alpha+\beta+2)x\big]\frac{d}{dx},$$

that correspond to the (modified) Hankel transform on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and the classical Jacobi polynomials on $(-1,1)$, respectively. Harmonic analysis of self-adjoint 'Laplacians' emerging from B_ν and $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ in the classical ranges of the parameters $\nu, \alpha, \beta > -1$ has been widely investigated, see for instance [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 38, 41, 44] and also references therein, which is only a small part of the related literature. In both contexts, we consider the classical and exotic self-adjoint operators and introduce the resulting general multi-dimensional Jacobi and Bessel 'Laplacians'. Then we study the maximal operators of the associated multi-dimensional semigroups and prove that they satisfy weak type $(1,1)$ estimates with respect to the appropriate measures, see Theorems 4.1 and 5.4. Again, this implies almost everywhere convergence for the semigroups to prescribed L^1 initial data. It is worth pointing out that the classical and exotic Bessel and Jacobi frameworks possess probabilistic interpretations analogous to that of Laguerre indicated above. In particular, the natures of the boundary points depend on the type parameters in exactly the same way.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the classical multi-dimensional Laguerre polynomial setting and give a new proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for the associated Laguerre semigroup maximal operator. Then we introduce in Section 3 a general exotic multi-dimensional Laguerre framework based on the 'Laplacian' $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$ and prove the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for the maximal operator of the semigroup generated by $-\mathbb{L}_\alpha$. Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to general exotic multi-dimensional Bessel and Jacobi contexts, respectively, and in these sections weak type $(1,1)$ estimates for the maximal operators of the general Bessel and Jacobi semigroups are obtained.

Notation. We first point out that $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ always means the product of d half-lines, $\mathbb{R}^d_+ = (0,\infty)^d$. Throughout the paper we use a standard notation with all symbols referring to the metric measure spaces $(\Omega, \mu, |\cdot|)$. Here $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d_+$, $(-1,1)^d$ or $(0,\pi)^d$, and $|\cdot|$ stands for the Euclidean norm in Ω, whereas μ is a suitable measure in Ω. In particular, for $x \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$ we write $B(x,r)$ to denote the open ball in Ω centered at x and of radius r. Further, by $\langle f, g\rangle_{d\mu}$ we mean $\int_\Omega f\overline{g}\, d\mu$ whenever the integral makes sense.

Furthermore, we use the following notation and abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{1} &= (1,\dots,1) \in \mathbb{R}^d_+,\\
\langle\alpha\rangle &= \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_d \qquad \text{(length of a multi-parameter } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d),\\
\mathbb{1} &\equiv \text{the constant function equal to 1 on } \Omega,\\
x^\gamma &= x_1^{\gamma_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_d^{\gamma_d}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d,\\
xy &= (x_1y_1,\dots,x_dy_d), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,\\
x \vee y &= \max(x,y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R},\\
x \wedge y &= \min(x,y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$

When writing estimates, we will frequently use the notation $X \lesssim Y$ to indicate that $X \le CY$ with a positive constant C independent of significant quantities. We shall write $X \simeq Y$ when simultaneously $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$.

2. The classical Laguerre semigroup maximal operator

Let $d \ge 1$. Given any multi-parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the product measure μ_α in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ by

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-(x_1+\ldots+x_d)}\, dx.$$

The classical d-dimensional Laguerre polynomial setting exists for $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$. The system of d-dimensional Laguerre polynomials L^α_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, which are just tensor products $L^\alpha_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d L^{\alpha_i}_{n_i}$ of the one-dimensional Laguerre polynomials, is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$. The associated differential operator is $\mathbb{L}_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^d L_{\alpha_i}$ (L_{α_i} acting on the ith coordinate variable) and one has $\mathbb{L}_\alpha L^\alpha_n = (n_1 + \ldots + n_d) L^\alpha_n$. Actually, we consider the corresponding self-adjoint operator, denoted by the same symbol $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$, whose spectral decomposition is given by the L^α_n in the canonical way.

The classical Laguerre semigroup $T^\alpha_t = \exp(-t\mathbb{L}_\alpha)$, $t \ge 0$, has in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ the integral representation

$$T^\alpha_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} G^\alpha_t(x,y) f(y)\, d\mu_\alpha(y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad t > 0. \tag{3}$$

The integral kernel here has the tensor product form

$$G^\alpha_t(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^d G^{\alpha_i}_t(x_i,y_i), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad t > 0,$$

where the one-dimensional kernels are given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned}
G^{\alpha_i}_t(x_i,y_i) &= \sum_{n_i=0}^\infty e^{-tn_i} \breve{L}^{\alpha_i}_{n_i}(x_i) \breve{L}^{\alpha_i}_{n_i}(y_i)\\
&= \frac{e^{t(\alpha_i+1)/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)} \exp\Big(-\frac{e^{-t/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)}(x_i+y_i)\Big)(x_iy_i)^{-\alpha_i/2} I_{\alpha_i}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{x_iy_i}}{\sinh(t/2)}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here $x_i, y_i, t > 0$, and I_ν denotes the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order $\nu > -1$, cf. [29, Chapter 5]. From standard properties of the Bessel function, it follows that $G^\alpha_t(x,y)$

is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2d+1}$; moreover, the integral in (3) converges absolutely for $f \in L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$. In particular, we see that (3) provides a pointwise definition of $T_t^\alpha f$ for $f \in L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$, thus for all $f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha) \subset L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. Further, observe that $T_t^\alpha \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$, since the Laguerre polynomial $L^\alpha_{(0,\dots,0)}$ is constant. Consequently, $\{T_t^\alpha\}$ is a (positive and symmetric) semigroup of contractions on each $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$. In other words, $\{T_t^\alpha\}$ is a Markovian symmetric diffusion semigroup. For all these well-known facts see e.g. [45, Section 2].

We consider the classical Laguerre semigroup maximal operator

$$T_*^\alpha f = \sup_{t>0} |T_t^\alpha f|.$$

By Stein's general maximal theorem for semigroups of operators [52, p. 73], T_*^α is bounded on each $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, $p > 1$. However, the case $p = 1$, in which only the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate holds, is much more subtle and cannot be dealt with by known general tools. Nonetheless, in this section we give a new, relatively short and complete, proof of the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$. Then T_*^α is bounded from $L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$ to weak $L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$, that is, the estimate*

$$\mu_\alpha\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : T_*^\alpha f(x) > \lambda\big\} \le \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} |f(x)|\, d\mu_\alpha(x), \qquad \lambda > 0, \quad f \in L^1(d\mu_\alpha),$$

holds with a constant C independent of λ and f.

In the case $d = 1$, this was proved by Muckenhoupt [34] by a rather elementary analysis. For higher dimensions and in the diagonal case $\alpha = (\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_0)$, Theorem 2.1 was shown by Dinger [18, Theorem 1]. Her proof is lengthy and quite technical. More recently Sasso [49], using some ideas implemented earlier in the Hermite (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck) context by other authors [22], gave another proof of Theorem 2.1 under the restriction $\alpha \in [0,\infty)^d$. Actually, her proof is written in the one-dimensional case, but it is indicated that the result can be extended to higher dimensions and then the arguments needed are merely sketched (see [49, Remarks 2.2 and 4.6]). The main tool in [49] is Schläfli's Poisson type integral representation for the Bessel function entering the kernel, which makes the analysis of T_*^α rather long and technical. In particular, the local balls defined in [49] are more complicated than those introduced in [22] and depend on an additional parameter coming from the Bessel function representation.

Our method of proving Theorem 2.1 is based on the strategy presented in [22], see also [2], but is considerably more involved than in the original Hermite framework. Nevertheless, the reasoning we give seems to be simpler and more transparent than the proofs mentioned above. It is perhaps worth pointing out that the analogue of Theorem 2.1 in the Hermite context was proved in at least four different ways. This was done for the first time by one of the authors [51] and then in [33, 22, 1].

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is contained in the subsections which follow.

2.1. **Some notation and technical preparation.** We let

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{d-1} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| = 1\}, \qquad \text{(unit sphere of dimension } d-1),\\
\sigma &\equiv \text{natural (non-normalized) spherical measure on } S^{d-1},\\
\tilde{x} &= x/|x|, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \qquad \text{(projection onto } S^{d-1}),\\
d(\xi,w) &= \arccos\langle \xi, w\rangle, \qquad \xi, w \in S^{d-1}, \qquad \text{(geodesic distance on } S^{d-1}),\\
\theta(x,y) &= d(\tilde{x},\tilde{y}), \qquad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \qquad \text{(angle between } x \text{ and } y),\\
S_+^{d-1} &= S^{d-1} \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^d},
\end{aligned}$$

$$c^+(\xi,r)=\big\{w\in S^{d-1}_+ : d(w,\xi)<r\big\},\qquad \xi\in S^{d-1}_+,\quad r>0.$$

Note that the geodesic and Euclidean distances on S^{d-1} are equivalent,

$$\frac{2}{\pi}d(\xi,w)\le|\xi-w|\le d(\xi,w),\qquad \xi,w\in S^{d-1}.$$

Next, we collect several technical lemmas needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $C>0$ be fixed. Then*

$$(ab+1)^\gamma\exp(-C(b-a)^2)\lesssim(a+1)^{2\gamma},\qquad a,b\ge0.$$

Proof. If $a/2\le b\le 2a$, then $ab\simeq a^2$ and the conclusion follows. In the opposite case, $(b-a)^2\simeq(b+a)^2\ge a^2+ab$ and the asserted estimate again holds. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\kappa\le0$, $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $c>0$ be fixed and such that $\kappa+\gamma\le0$. Then*

$$\sup_{t>0}t^\kappa(t+A)^\gamma\exp\left(-c\frac{z^2}{t}\right)\simeq z^{2\kappa}(z^2+A)^\gamma,\qquad A\ge0,\quad z>0.$$

Proof. We let $f(t)$ be the function in the supremum, and observe that it is enough to show that $f(t)\lesssim f(z^2)$ for all $t>0$. For $t\ge z^2$ this follows since then $f(t)\simeq t^\kappa(t+A)^\gamma$ and the last expression is non-increasing in t. When $t<z^2$, we estimate the exponential factor by $\text{const}\cdot(z^2/t)^\kappa$ if $\gamma\ge0$ and by $\text{const}\cdot(z^2/t)^{\kappa+\gamma}$ if $\gamma<0$. Thus $f(t)$ is controlled by $z^{2\kappa}(t+A)^\gamma$ and $z^{2\kappa+2\gamma}(1+A/t)^\gamma$, respectively, and both these expressions are non-decreasing in t and agree with the right-hand side in the lemma for $t=z^2$. □

The following simple observation will be useful. Given $\gamma>-1$, we have

$$\int_a^b t^\gamma\,dt\simeq(b-a)b^\gamma,\qquad b>a\ge0. \tag{5}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\gamma>-1$ be fixed. Then*

$$\int_a^b x^\gamma e^{-x^2}\,dx\simeq\Big[(b-a)\wedge\frac{1}{a+1}\Big]\big[b\wedge(a+1)\big]^\gamma e^{-a^2},\qquad 0\le a\le b\le\infty.$$

Proof. The case $b=\infty$ is easy, since the quotient between the two sides in question is a positive continuous function of $a\ge0$ having a finite and positive limit when $a\to\infty$, by L'Hôpital's rule. Therefore we assume $b<\infty$. It is convenient to distinguish two cases.
Case 1: $b\le a+\frac{1}{a+1}$. In this situation $e^{-x^2}\simeq e^{-a^2}$, $x\in[a,b]$, and by (5) the integral in question is comparable with $(b-a)b^\gamma e^{-a^2}$. Now a simple analysis leads to the required relation.
Case 2: $b>a+\frac{1}{a+1}$. We have $b\ge1$. Split the region of integration into $(a,a+\frac{1}{a+1})$ and $(a+\frac{1}{a+1},b)$ denoting the corresponding integrals by I_1 and I_2, respectively. In view of Case 1, we have $I_1\simeq(a+1)^{\gamma-1}e^{-a^2}$. Therefore to finish the proof it suffices to show that $I_2\lesssim(a+1)^{\gamma-1}e^{-a^2}$. This, however, follows from the lemma with $b=\infty$ and the fact that $a+\frac{1}{a+1}\simeq a+1$. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $d\ge2$ and $\gamma\in(-1,\infty)^d$ be fixed. Then*

(a)

$$\int_{c^+(\xi,r)}w^\gamma\,d\sigma(w)\simeq r^{d-1}\prod_{i=1}^d(\xi_i+r)^{\gamma_i},\qquad \xi\in S^{d-1}_+,\quad 0<r\le2\pi,$$

(b)

$$\int_{c^+(\xi,r)}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^d(w_i+r)^{-\gamma_i}\Big)w^\gamma\,d\sigma(w)\simeq r^{d-1},\qquad \xi\in S^{d-1}_+,\quad 0<r\le2\pi,$$

(c)
$$\int_{a\le|z|\le b} z^\gamma e^{-|z|^2}\,dz \simeq \Big[(b-a)\wedge\frac{1}{a+1}\Big]\big[b\wedge(a+1)\big]^{\langle\gamma\rangle+d-1}e^{-a^2}$$
uniformly in $0\le a\le b\le\infty$.

Proof. Item (a) is a slight modification of [17, (5.1.9)], the difference is that here we integrate over subsets of S_+^{d-1} and allow a wider range of γ. Since the proof is essentially a repetition of the arguments given in [17, pp. 107–109], we leave it to the reader.

Next, observe that part (c) is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 2.4. Indeed, integration in polar coordinates produces
$$\int_{a\le|z|\le b} z^\gamma e^{-|z|^2}\,dz = \Big(\int_a^b t^{\langle\gamma\rangle+d-1}e^{-t^2}\,dt\Big)\Big(\int_{S_+^{d-1}} w^\gamma\,d\sigma(w)\Big),$$
which together with Lemma 2.4 implies the required estimate.

Finally, we prove item (b). Let
$$I=\{i:\xi_i>2r\},\qquad J=\{i:\xi_i\le 2r\}.$$
Notice that for $w\in c^+(\xi,r)$ we have
$$\begin{aligned}\xi_i/2<\xi_i-r<\xi_i-|w_i-\xi_i|\le w_i\le\xi_i+|w_i-\xi_i|<\xi_i+r<3\xi_i/2,\qquad i\in I,\\ w_i\le\xi_i+|w_i-\xi_i|<\xi_i+r\le 3r,\qquad i\in J,\end{aligned}$$
which means that $w_i+r\simeq\xi_i\simeq w_i$ for $i\in I$ and $\xi_i+r\simeq w_i+r\simeq r$ for $i\in J$. This leads to
$$\int_{c^+(\xi,r)}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^d(w_i+r)^{-\gamma_i}\Big)w^\gamma\,d\sigma(w)\simeq\Big(\prod_{i\in J}r^{-\gamma_i}\Big)\int_{c^+(\xi,r)}\Big(\prod_{i\in J}w_i^{\gamma_i}\Big)\,d\sigma(w).$$
Now an application of part (a) shows that the expression in question is comparable with
$$\Big(\prod_{i\in J}r^{-\gamma_i}\Big)r^{d-1}\Big(\prod_{i\in J}(\xi_i+r)^{\gamma_i}\Big)\simeq r^{d-1},$$
and the proof of part (b) is finished. □

2.2. **Reformulation, reduction and the main splitting.** For any $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^d$ define the measures ν_α and η_α in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ by
$$d\nu_\alpha(x)=x^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}e^{-|x|^2}\,dx,\qquad d\eta_\alpha(x)=x^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\,dx.$$
In the remaining part of Section 2 we always assume $\alpha\in(-1,\infty)^d$.

We now make the changes of variables $x\mapsto xx$, $y\mapsto yy$, let $t=t(s)=2\log\frac{1+s}{1-s}$, $s\in(0,1)$ (equivalently, $s=\tanh(t/4)$) and eliminate the Bessel function by means of the standard bounds for I_ν with $\nu>-1$ (see [29, (5.16.4) and (5.16.5)]),
$$I_\nu(z)\simeq z^\nu(z+1)^{-\nu-1/2}e^z,\qquad z>0. \tag{6}$$
From (4) we then infer that
$$\begin{aligned}G^\alpha_{t(s)}(xx,yy)&\simeq s^{-d/2}\prod_{i=1}^d\big[(1-s)x_iy_i+s\big]^{-\alpha_i-1/2}\exp\left(|x|^2-\frac{|(1+s)x-(1-s)y|^2}{4s}\right)\\&=:\mathcal{G}^\alpha_s(x,y)\end{aligned}$$
uniformly in $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $s\in(0,1)$. Thus the weak type $(1,1)$ of T^α_* with respect to μ_α (stated in Theorem 2.1) is equivalent to the weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_α of the maximal operator
$$f(x)\mapsto\sup_{0<s<1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\mathcal{G}^\alpha_s(x,y)f(y)\,d\nu_\alpha(y)\right|.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 (specified to $\gamma = -\alpha_i - 1/2$, $C = 1/8$, $a = \frac{(1+s)x_i}{\sqrt{s}} \simeq \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{s}}$ and $b = \frac{(1-s)y_i}{\sqrt{s}}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$) we see that $\mathcal{G}_s^\alpha(x,y)$ is controlled by the kernel

$$K_s^\alpha(x,y) := s^{-d/2} \prod_{i=1}^d \big(x_i + \sqrt{s}\,\big)^{-2\alpha_i - 1} \exp\left(|x|^2 - \frac{|(1+s)x - (1-s)y|^2}{8s}\right).$$

This leads us to the maximal operator

$$K_*^\alpha f(x) = \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} K_s^\alpha(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad 0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha).$$

The following result obviously implies Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$. Then*

$$\nu_\alpha\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : K_*^\alpha f(x) > \lambda\big\} \le \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(x)\, d\nu_\alpha(x), \qquad \lambda > 0, \quad 0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha),$$

with a constant C independent of λ and f.

To prove this, we follow a well-known general strategy and decompose K_*^α into its local and global parts. Define

$$m(x) = \frac{1}{|x|+1}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

Our local balls will be of the type $B(x, am(x))$, where $a > 0$ is fixed. The crucial fact is that in such balls the measure ν_α is proportional to the power measure η_α. More precisely, for $a > 0$ fixed, we have

$$e^{-|y|^2} \simeq e^{-|z|^2}, \qquad d\nu_\alpha(y) \simeq e^{-|z|^2} d\eta_\alpha(y), \qquad y \in B(z, am(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d_+. \tag{7}$$

For further reference, notice that

$$\frac{1}{a+1} \le \frac{m(x)}{m(x_0)} \le a+1 \quad \text{for} \quad |x - x_0| \le a, \quad a > 0. \tag{8}$$

The local and global parts of K_*^α are defined by

$$K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}} f(x) = K_*^\alpha\big(f\chi_{B(x,m(x))}\big)(x) = \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{B(x,m(x))} K_s^\alpha(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y),$$

$$K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}} f(x) = K_*^\alpha\big(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus B(x,m(x))}\big)(x) = \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus B(x,m(x))} K_s^\alpha(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y).$$

Clearly, it is enough to verify the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}}$ and $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}$ separately. The treatment of $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}}$ is relatively simple since this operator can be controlled by means of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function related to the doubling measure η_α. On the other hand, the analysis of $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}$ is non-standard and tricky. As we shall see, $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}$ can be dominated by an integral operator that turns out to be of weak type $(1,1)$.

2.3. **Treatment of the local part $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}}$.** We aim at estimating the quantity $e^{-|x|^2} K_s^\alpha(x,y)$ in a local ball by the so-called Gaussian bound related to the space of homogeneous type $(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \eta_\alpha, |\cdot|)$. Treating the exponent in the definition of $K_s^\alpha(x,y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(1+s)x - (1-s)y|^2 &= |(1+s)(x-y) + 2sy|^2 = (1+s)^2 \Big|x - y + 2\frac{s}{1+s} y\Big|^2 \\ &\ge |x-y|^2 - 4s|\langle x-y, y\rangle| \ge |x-y|^2 - 4s|y| m(x) \\ &\ge |x-y|^2 - 8s, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $s \in (0,1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $y \in B(x, m(x))$; the last inequality above is a consequence of (8) with $a = 1$. Combining this with the comparability (cf. [46, Lemma 2.2])

$$\eta_\alpha\big(B(x,r)\big) \simeq r^d \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i + r)^{2\alpha_i+1}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad r > 0, \tag{9}$$

and (7) specified to $a = 1$ and $z = x$, we obtain

$$K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}} f(x) \lesssim \sup_{0<s<1} \frac{1}{\eta_\alpha\big(B(x,\sqrt{s})\big)} \int_{B(x,m(x))} \exp\Big(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8s}\Big) f(y)\, d\eta_\alpha(y).$$

Since the measure η_α is doubling, it follows that

$$K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}} f(x) \lesssim M_\alpha(f\chi_{B(x,m(x))})(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad 0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha), \tag{10}$$

where M_α is the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator associated with the space of homogeneous type $(\mathbb{R}^d_+, \eta_\alpha, |\cdot|)$. From the general theory, see [28, Chapter 2], we know that M_α is bounded from $L^1(d\eta_\alpha)$ to weak $L^1(d\eta_\alpha)$.

By a well-known covering type argument (see for example [2, Lemma 3.2 on p. 16]) there exists a sequence of balls $B(q_k, m(q_k))$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, which cover $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ and such that the larger concentric balls $B(q_k, 3m(q_k))$ have bounded overlap, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \chi_{B(q_k,3m(q_k))}(y) \lesssim 1, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

Using (8) with $a = 1$ it is easy to check that

$$B(x, m(x)) \subset B(q_k, 3m(q_k)) \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in B(q_k, m(q_k)), \quad k \ge 1.$$

Consequently, by (10) there exists a constant C such that

$$K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}} f(x) \le C M_\alpha(f\chi_{B(q_k,3m(q_k))})(x), \qquad x \in B(q_k, m(q_k)), \quad k \ge 1, \quad 0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha).$$

Now we are ready to conclude that $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}}$ is of weak type $(1,1)$. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$. Applying the above estimate of $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}} f(x)$ and then using (7) (with either $a = 1$ or $a = 3$, and $z = q_k$, $k \ge 1$) and the fact that M_α is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to η_α, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_\alpha\big\{x : K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{loc}} f(x) > \lambda\big\} &\le \sum_{k=1}^\infty \nu_\alpha\big\{x \in B(q_k, m(q_k)) : M_\alpha(f\chi_{B(q_k,3m(q_k))})(x) > \lambda/C\big\} \\
&\simeq \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-|q_k|^2} \eta_\alpha\big\{x \in B(q_k, m(q_k)) : M_\alpha(f\chi_{B(q_k,3m(q_k))})(x) > \lambda/C\big\} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-|q_k|^2} \frac{\|f\chi_{B(q_k,3m(q_k))}\|_{L^1(d\eta_\alpha)}}{\lambda} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}}{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows.

2.4. **Analysis of the global part.** We now focus on the more tricky operator $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}$. To begin with, we prove a uniform estimate of $K_s^\alpha(x,y)$ outside local balls by an expression independent of s, with dependence on y only through the angle between x and y, denoted $\theta(x,y)$ or simply θ. Curiously enough, such a crude bound will be sufficient for our purpose.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $\alpha \in (-1,\infty)^d$. The estimate*

$$K_s^\alpha(x,y) \lesssim e^{|x|^2} \bigg[(|x|\theta)^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i + |x|\theta)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\bigg] \wedge \bigg[(|x|+1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(x_i + \frac{1}{|x|+1}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\bigg] \tag{11}$$

holds uniformly in $s \in (0,1)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus B(x, m(x))$; here $\theta = \theta(x,y)$ and the quantity in the first square bracket above is understood as ∞ when $|x|\theta = 0$.

When $d = 1$ the bound (11) gives

$$K^\alpha_s(x,y) \lesssim (x+1)^{-2\alpha} e^{x^2}.$$

It is straightforward to check that the function $x \mapsto (x+1)^{-2\alpha} e^{x^2}$ belongs to weak $L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ and therefore in the one-dimensional case $K^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}_*$ satisfies the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. We first show that $K^\alpha_s(x,y)$ is controlled by $e^{|x|^2}$ times the first square bracket in (11). Since

$$|w - z|^2 \geq |w|^2 \sin^2\theta(w,z), \qquad w, z \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},$$

we get

$$|(1+s)x - (1-s)y|^2 \geq (1+s)^2 |x|^2 \sin^2\theta \simeq |x|^2\theta^2, \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad s \in (0,1), \tag{12}$$

where $\theta = \theta(x,y) \in [0, \pi/2]$. This estimate together with Lemma 2.3 (applied with $\kappa = -1/2$, $\gamma = -\alpha_i - 1/2$, $A = x_i^2$, $z = |x|\theta$) shows that, for some $c > 0$, the left-hand side in (11) is controlled by

$$e^{|x|^2} \sup_{0<s<1} s^{-d/2} \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i + \sqrt{s})^{-2\alpha_i - 1} \exp\Big(- c\frac{|x|^2\theta^2}{s}\Big) \lesssim e^{|x|^2} (|x|\theta)^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i + |x|\theta)^{-2\alpha_i - 1},$$

uniformly in $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. To finish the proof, it is enough to show that $K^\alpha_s(x,y)$ is controlled by $e^{|x|^2}$ times the second square bracket in (11).

Let us first consider $s > \frac{1}{4(|x|+1)^2}$. Since the function $s \mapsto s^{-1/2}(x_i + \sqrt{s})^{-2\alpha_i - 1}$ is decreasing, we obtain the desired bound. In the opposite case $s \leq \frac{1}{4(|x|+1)^2} \leq 1/4$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |(1+s)x - (1-s)y| &= |(1-s)(x-y) + 2sx| \geq \frac{3}{4}|x-y| - 2s|x| \geq \frac{3}{4(|x|+1)} - \frac{|x|}{2(|x|+1)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4(|x|+1)}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ \setminus B(x, m(x)). \end{aligned}$$

This together with Lemma 2.3 (specified to $\kappa = -1/2$, $\gamma = -\alpha_i - 1/2$, $c = 1/(128d)$, $A = x_i^2$, $z = (|x|+1)^{-1}$) produces the required estimate. □

Since the case $d = 1$ is already done, in what follows we assume $d \geq 2$. For $0 \leq f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$ and $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$ we introduce the auxiliary integral operator

$$\begin{aligned} &U^\alpha f(x) \\ &= e^{|x|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \bigg[(|x|\theta)^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i + |x|\theta)^{-2\alpha_i - 1} \bigg] \wedge \bigg[(|x|+1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(x_i + \frac{1}{|x|+1}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i - 1} \bigg] f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y); \end{aligned}$$

recall that $\theta = \theta(x,y) \in [0, \pi/2]$ and the quantity in the first square bracket above is interpreted as ∞ if $|x|\theta = 0$. It is straightforward to see that the function $\overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \ni x \mapsto U^\alpha f(x)$ is continuous. Moreover, in view of Lemma 2.7

$$K^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}_* f(x) \lesssim U^\alpha f(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad 0 \leq f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha).$$

So our task reduces to showing that U^α is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_α.

Let $\lambda > 0$ and $0 \leq f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$. Let $z_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$ be such that

$$|z_0| = \min\Big\{ |z| : z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+},\ U^\alpha f(z) \geq \lambda \Big\}, \qquad r_0 := |z_0|.$$

Such a z_0 exists because the level set above is closed in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$ and without any loss of generality we may assume that it is nonempty. Observe that, in particular, we have

$$e^{r_0^2}(r_0+1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \Big((z_0)_i + \frac{1}{r_0+1}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1} \|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)} \geq \lambda. \tag{13}$$

In what follows we may assume that $r_0 > 1$, since otherwise there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)} \geq C\lambda$, which forces

$$\nu_\alpha \{z : U^\alpha f(z) \geq \lambda\} \leq \nu_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d_+) \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}}{\lambda}.$$

We first verify that it is enough to consider the ring $\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+} : r_0 \leq |z| \leq 2r_0\}$. Using Lemma 2.5 (c) (with $\gamma = 2\alpha + \mathbf{1}$, $a = 2r_0$ and $b = \infty$) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\alpha \Big\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+} : |z| \geq 2r_0\Big\} &\simeq r_0^{2\langle\alpha\rangle+2d-2} e^{-4r_0^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}}{\lambda}(r_0+1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \Big((z_0)_i + \frac{1}{r_0+1}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1} r_0^{2\langle\alpha\rangle+2d-2} e^{-3r_0^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $(z_0)_i \leq |z_0| = r_0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{r_0+1} \leq (z_0)_i + \frac{1}{r_0+1} \leq r_0 + 1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, d,$$

and consequently

$$\nu_\alpha \Big\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+} : |z| \geq 2r_0\Big\} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}}{\lambda}.$$

Thus we need only consider the region $r_0 \leq |z| \leq 2r_0$. Let

$$H = \Big\{w \in S^{d-1}_+ : \text{there exists } \rho \in [r_0, 2r_0] \text{ such that } U^\alpha f(\rho w) \geq \lambda\Big\},$$

and for every $w \in H$ let

$$r(w) = \min\{\rho \in [r_0, 2r_0] : U^\alpha f(\rho w) \geq \lambda\}.$$

This definition is correct, in view of the continuity of $U^\alpha f$. For every $w \in H$ we have $U^\alpha f(r(w)w) \geq \lambda$, which means that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &\leq e^{r(w)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \Big[(r(w)\theta)^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (r(w)w_i + r(w)\theta)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] \\ &\qquad \wedge \Big[(r(w)+1)^d \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(r(w)w_i + \frac{1}{r(w)+1}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y) \\ &\simeq e^{r(w)^2} r_0^{-2\langle\alpha\rangle-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \Big[\theta^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (w_i+\theta)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] \wedge \Big[r_0^{2d} \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(w_i + \frac{1}{r_0^2}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y),\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \theta(w, y)$.

Now an application of Lemma 2.4 (taken with $\gamma = 2\langle\alpha\rangle + 2d - 1$, $a = r(w) \simeq r_0$ and $b = \infty$) combined with the above estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu_\alpha \Big\{z \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^d_+} : r_0 \leq |z| \leq 2r_0,\ U^\alpha f(z) \geq \lambda\Big\} \\ &\leq \nu_\alpha \{rw : w \in H,\ r \geq r(w)\} = \int_H \int_{r(w)}^\infty r^{2\langle\alpha\rangle+2d-1} e^{-r^2}\, dr\, w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w)\end{aligned}$$

$$\simeq \int_H r_0^{2\langle\alpha\rangle+2d-2} e^{-r(w)^2} w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w)$$

$$\lesssim \lambda^{-1} r_0^{-2} \int_H \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \Big[\theta^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (w_i+\theta)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] \wedge \Big[r_0^{2d} \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(w_i+\frac{1}{r_0^2}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] \times f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y)\, w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w).$$

Therefore, in order to finish the proof of weak type $(1,1)$ for U^α, it is enough to check that

$$\int_{S_+^{d-1}} \Big[\theta^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^d (w_i+\theta)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] \wedge \Big[r_0^{2d} \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(w_i+\frac{1}{r_0^2}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1}\Big] w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w) \lesssim r_0^2,$$

uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $r_0 > 1$; here $\theta = \theta(w,y) = d(w,\tilde{y})$.

Let I denote the last integral. We split the region of integration in I into dyadic pieces,

$$I = \int_{d(w,\tilde{y})<\frac{1}{r_0^2}} \ldots + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\frac{2^{k-1}}{r_0^2} \le d(w,\tilde{y}) < \frac{2^k}{r_0^2}} \ldots,$$

where the integration is over subsets of S_+^{d-1}. Applying the second estimate in the minimum to the first term and the first one to the remaining terms, we get

$$I \lesssim \int_{d(w,\tilde{y})<\frac{1}{r_0^2}} r_0^{2d} \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(w_i+\frac{1}{r_0^2}\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1} w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\frac{2^{k-1}}{r_0^2} \le d(w,\tilde{y}) < \frac{2^k}{r_0^2}} \Big(\frac{r_0^2}{2^k}\Big)^d \prod_{i=1}^d (w_i + d(w,\tilde{y}))^{-2\alpha_i-1} w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w)$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \Big(\frac{r_0^2}{2^k}\Big)^d \int_{d(w,\tilde{y})<\frac{2^k}{r_0^2}\wedge 2\pi} \prod_{i=1}^d \Big(w_i+\frac{2^k}{r_0^2}\wedge 2\pi\Big)^{-2\alpha_i-1} w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w).$$

This, however, by Lemma 2.5 (b) (taken with $\gamma = 2\alpha+\mathbf{1}$, $\xi = \tilde{y}$ and $r = \frac{2^k}{r_0^2} \wedge 2\pi$) leads to

$$I \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \Big(\frac{r_0^2}{2^k}\Big)^d \Big(\frac{2^k}{r_0^2}\wedge 2\pi\Big)^{d-1} \le r_0^2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-k} \simeq r_0^2,$$

which is the desired estimate.

The weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for U^α is proved, and it follows that $K_*^{\alpha,\mathrm{glob}}$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_α. This finishes proving Theorem 2.6, thus also Theorem 2.1.

3. Exotic Laguerre semigroup maximal operator

To begin with, we consider the one-dimensional situation.

3.1. **Description of the exotic Laguerre context in dimension one.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that the classical (non-exotic) one-dimensional Laguerre setting exists for $\alpha > -1$ and the corresponding self-adjoint Laplacian is L_α^{cls}. The semigroup generated by L_α^{cls} has the integral representation with the explicit integral kernel, see (3) and (4),

$$G_t^\alpha(x,y) = \frac{e^{t(\alpha+1)/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)} \exp\Big(-\frac{e^{-t/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)}(x+y)\Big)(xy)^{-\alpha/2} I_\alpha\Big(\frac{\sqrt{xy}}{\sinh(t/2)}\Big).$$

Now, the exotic situation occurs for $0 \neq \alpha < 1$ when the associated self-adjoint Laplacian is L_α^{exo} (recall that $L_0^{\mathrm{exo}} = L_0^{\mathrm{cls}}$). The orthogonal basis of $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ underlying the spectral decomposition of L_α^{exo} is $\{x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha} : n \ge 0\}$ and we have $L_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}}(x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha}) = (n-\alpha) x^{-\alpha} L_n^{-\alpha}$,

$n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Therefore the exotic Laguerre semigroup $\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha = \exp(-tL_\alpha^{\mathrm{exo}})$, $t \geq 0$, is in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ given by

$$\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(n-\alpha)} \big\langle f, x^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha} \big\rangle_{d\mu_\alpha} x^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha}, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

The corresponding integral representation is

$$\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha f(x) = \int_0^\infty \widetilde{G}_t^\alpha(x,y) f(y)\, d\mu_\alpha(y), \qquad x, t > 0, \tag{14}$$

with the integral kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G}_t^\alpha(x,y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(n-\alpha)}\, x^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha}(x)\, y^{-\alpha} \breve{L}_n^{-\alpha}(y) \\ &= e^{t\alpha} (xy)^{-\alpha} G_t^{-\alpha}(x,y), \qquad x, y, t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The fact that the exotic kernel is expressed directly in terms of the classical one is crucial for our developments. In particular, we see that $\widetilde{G}_t^\alpha(x,y)$ is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$; this, of course, follows from analogous properties of the non-exotic kernel.

Using the standard bounds for the Bessel function (6), it is straightforward to verify that in case $\alpha < 0$ the integral in (14) converges absolutely for $f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Thus (14) provides a pointwise definition of $\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha$, $t > 0$, on the L^p spaces. Moreover, $\{\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha\}$ is a semigroup of operators on each $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$; this can be checked, for instance, by means of the relation (15) and the analogous property of $\{T_t^{-\alpha}\}$.

The situation is more subtle in case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the Bessel function asymptotics reveal that (14) provides a definition of $\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha$ on $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ only if $p > \alpha + 1$. Indeed, if $p \leq \alpha + 1$ then there is an $f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ such that the integral in (14) diverges for all $x, t > 0$. Moreover, if we assume $p > \alpha + 1$ and, given $t > 0$, require that $\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ for all $f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, then we arrive at the dual restriction $p < (\alpha+1)/\alpha$. Thus, in case $0 < \alpha < 1$, the exotic Laguerre semigroup maps L^p into itself only for $\alpha + 1 < p < (\alpha+1)/\alpha$. This is an instance of the so-called *pencil phenomenon* occurring also in other Laguerre frameworks, cf. [31, 42].

For further reference we note that in the overlapping range $-1 < \alpha < 0$ the exotic kernel is dominated by the classical one,

$$\widetilde{G}_t^\alpha(x,y) < G_t^\alpha(x,y), \qquad x, y, t > 0, \quad -1 < \alpha < 0. \tag{16}$$

This is an easy consequence of the following inequality satisfied by I_ν, see [30, Theorem 1],

$$I_{\nu+\varepsilon}(z) < I_\nu(z), \qquad z > 0, \quad \nu \geq -\varepsilon/2, \quad \nu > -1, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{17}$$

We remark that (16) is quite obvious, at least heuristically, in view of the probabilistic interpretation, see Section 1. Indeed, the two kernels are transition probability densities for processes that are distinguished only by the nature of the boundary point $x = 0$, which is killing or reflecting, respectively. Roughly speaking, one of these processes is just the other one killed upon hitting the boundary.

Another fact we shall need is that for $\alpha < 0$ and $t > 0$, the operator $\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha$ is contractive on L^∞. More precisely, we have even strict inequality in the estimate

$$\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha \mathbb{1}(x) < 1, \qquad x, t > 0, \quad \alpha < 0. \tag{18}$$

This is justified as follows. By the explicit formula for $\widetilde{G}_t^\alpha(x,y)$, see (15), we have

$$\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha \mathbb{1}(x) = \frac{e^{t(\alpha+1)/2}}{\sinh(t/2)}\, x^{-\alpha/2} \exp\bigg(-\frac{e^{-t/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)} x \bigg)\, \mathcal{I}(x),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha+1} \exp\Big(- \frac{e^{t/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)} y^2 \Big) I_{-\alpha}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\sinh(t/2)} y \Big)\, dy.$$

The integral here can be computed by means of [45, Lemma 2.2]. The result is

$$\mathcal{I}(x) = \frac{2^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \big[\sinh(t/2)\big]^{\alpha+1} e^{-t/2} x^{-\alpha/2}\, {}_1F_1\Big(1; 1-\alpha; \frac{e^{-t/2}}{2\sinh(t/2)} x\Big),$$

${}_1F_1$ denoting Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function. This leads to the formula

$$\widetilde{T}_t^\alpha \mathbb{1}(x) = H_{1,1-\alpha}\Big(\frac{e^{-t/2} x}{2\sinh(t/2)} \Big), \qquad x, t > 0,$$

where $H_{1,1-\alpha}$ is the function defined in [45, Section 2]. Now we can easily conclude (18) by the proof of [45, Lemma 2.3]. Furthermore, (18) cannot be improved (i.e. the right-hand side cannot be smaller) since, in view of [45, Lemma 2.3 (a)], $H_{1,1-\alpha}(u) \to 1$ as $u \to \infty$.

3.2. **Multi-dimensional exotic Laguerre context and the maximal theorem.** We now pass to the multi-dimensional situation that arises, roughly speaking, by taking a tensor product of the one-dimensional classical and exotic Laguerre settings. Let $d \geq 1$. We associate with each $\mathcal{E} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ a set of multi-parameters

$$A(\mathcal{E}) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 \neq \alpha_i < 1 \ \text{ for } \ i \in \mathcal{E} \ \text{ and } \ \alpha_i > -1 \ \text{ for } \ i \in \mathcal{E}^c\}; \tag{19}$$

here and elsewhere $\mathcal{E}^c$ stands for the complement of $\mathcal{E}$ in $\{1, \dots, d\}$. The set $\mathcal{E}$ will indicate which coordinate axes are exotic. From now on we assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is fixed, and we always consider $\alpha \in A(\mathcal{E})$. Further, for such α we let

$$m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \max\{\alpha_i : i \in \mathcal{E}\}, & \mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty, & \mathcal{E} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \begin{cases} x_i^{-\alpha_i} \breve{L}_{n_i}^{-\alpha_i}, & i \in \mathcal{E}, \\ \breve{L}_{n_i}^{\alpha_i}, & i \notin \mathcal{E}, \end{cases} \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Then the system $\{\mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} : n \in \mathbb{N}^d\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$. These are eigenfunctions of the Laguerre differential operator $\mathbb{L}_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^d L_{\alpha_i}$ (recall that here L_{α_i} acts on the ith coordinate variable), we have $\mathbb{L}_\alpha \mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} = \lambda_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} \mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, where the eigenvalues are given by

$$\lambda_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} (n_i - \alpha_i) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}^c} n_i = \sum_{i=1}^d n_i - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \alpha_i, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Here and later on we use the standard conventions concerning empty sums and products.

We consider the self-adjoint extension of $\mathbb{L}_\alpha$, acting initially on $\operatorname{span}\{\mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} : n \in \mathbb{N}^d\} \subset L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$, defined by

$$\mathbb{L}_{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} \big\langle f, \mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} \big\rangle_{d\mu_\alpha} \mathfrak{L}_n^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} \mathbb{L}_{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$ consisting of all those $f \in L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$ for which this series converges in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$. Notice that $\mathbb{L}_{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$ is non-negative in the spectral sense if and only if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{E}} \alpha_i \leq 0$. Observe also that with $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ we recover the classical multi-dimensional Laguerre polynomial context considered in Section 2. Otherwise, i.e. when $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, we use the adjective *exotic* to distinguish this situation and related objects from the classical setup.

The semigroup $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} = \exp(-t\mathbb{L}_{\alpha,\mathcal{E}})$, $t \geq 0$, defined spectrally in $L^2(d\mu_\alpha)$, has the integral representation

$$\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}(x,y) f(y)\, d\mu_\alpha(y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d, \quad t > 0, \tag{20}$$

where the integral kernel is the product of one-dimensional classical and exotic kernels,

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}(x,y) = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}} \widetilde{G}_t^{\alpha_i}(x_i,y_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}^c} G_t^{\alpha_i}(x_i,y_i), \qquad x,y \in \mathbb{R}_+^d, \quad t > 0.$$

Clearly, $\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}(x,y)$ is strictly positive and symmetric, and moreover smooth in $(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2d+1}$; this, as well as several further facts below, follows from analogous properties of the one-dimensional kernels.

When $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$, the formula (20) provides a pointwise definition of $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f$ on all $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Moreover, $\{\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}\}$ is a semigroup of contractions on each $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. This follows (see [45, Lemma 2.1]) from the estimate $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}\mathbb{1}(x) \leq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, $t > 0$, where the inequality is actually strict provided that $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\{\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}\}$ is a submarkovian symmetric diffusion semigroup, which is Markovian if and only if $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$.

For α satisfying $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) > 0$ a pencil phenomenon occurs. The operators $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$, $t > 0$, are not even defined in $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ when $p \leq 1 + m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)$; in particular, this happens for $p = 1$. On the other hand, they are well defined for $p > 1 + m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)$, but then the requirement that, given $t > 0$, $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ for all $f \in L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$ forces the dual restriction $p < 1 + 1/m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)$; in particular, $\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}\mathbb{1}$ is an unbounded function for each $t > 0$.

Bring now in the maximal operator

$$\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f = \sup_{t>0} \bigl|\mathbb{T}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f\bigr|.$$

Our aim is to prove that $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$ satisfies the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate with respect to the measure μ_α. In view of what was already said, this problem makes sense only when $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$. Note that for such α, Stein's general maximal theorem for semigroups of operators [52, p. 73] implies the $L^p(d\mu_\alpha)$-boundedness of $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$ for $p > 1$. Because of the pencil phenomenon, from the perspective of the L^p mapping properties of $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$ the case $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) > 0$ is qualitatively different and more sophisticated than $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$; thus it is beyond the scope of this paper. We refer to [42] for some interesting questions that can be posed in connection with the pencil phenomenon.

The main result of this paper reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $d \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in A(\mathcal{E})$ for some $\mathcal{E} \subset \{1,\dots,d\}$. Assume that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$. Then $\mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}$ is bounded from $L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$ to weak $L^1(d\mu_\alpha)$, that is, the estimate*

$$\mu_\alpha\bigl\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^d : \mathbb{T}_*^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}} f(x) > \lambda\bigr\} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} |f(x)|\, d\mu_\alpha(x), \qquad \lambda > 0, \quad f \in L^1(d\mu_\alpha),$$

holds with a constant C independent of λ and f.

When proving Theorem 3.1 we can make the following reductions.

(R1) Assume $f \geq 0$, since the kernel $\mathbb{G}_t^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}(x,y)$ is positive.
(R2) Restrict to α satisfying $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) \leq -1$, because of the majorization (16).
(R3) Consider $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, since the case $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ corresponds to the classical case in which the result is already known, see Theorem 2.1.
(R4) Drop $\mathcal{E}$ from the notation, in view of (R2).
(R5) Assume, for symmetry reasons, that $\mathcal{E} = \{1,\dots,d'\}$ for some $1 \leq d' \leq d$.

Then $\alpha = (\alpha', \alpha'') \in (-\infty, -1]^{d'} \times (-1, \infty)^{d-d'}$ and the kernel can be written as

$$\mathbb{G}_t^\alpha(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d'} \widetilde{G}_t^{\alpha_i}(x_i, y_i) \prod_{i=d'+1}^{d} G_t^{\alpha_i}(x_i, y_i) \equiv \widetilde{G}_t^{\alpha'}(x', y') G_t^{\alpha''}(x'', y''),$$

where for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{d'}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ and $z'' = (z_{d'+1}, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-d'}$. Note that the double-prime part may be void here and in what follows.

Taking into account (15) and the considerations and notation from Section 2.2, we see that

$$\mathbb{G}_{t(s)}^\alpha\big(xx, yy\big) \lesssim \mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y), \qquad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad s \in (0,1),$$

where

$$\mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y) := (1-s)^{-2\langle \alpha' \rangle} (x'y')^{-2\alpha'} K_s^{-\alpha'}(x', y') K_s^{\alpha''}(x'', y'').$$

This leads us to considering the maximal operator

$$\mathbb{K}_*^\alpha f(x) = \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad 0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha).$$

Clearly, Theorem 3.1 will follow once we prove the result for $\mathbb{K}_*^\alpha$ stated below.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1]^{d'} \times (-1, \infty)^{d-d'}$ for some $1 \le d' \le d$. Then $\mathbb{K}_*^\alpha$ satisfies*

$$\nu_\alpha\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : \mathbb{K}_*^\alpha f(x) > \lambda\big\} \le \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(x)\, d\nu_\alpha(x), \qquad \lambda > 0, \quad 0 \le f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha),$$

with a constant C independent of λ and f.

We split the proof into several lemmas stated below and proved in the subsequent subsections. Altogether, they imply Theorem 3.2, taking into account the product structure of $\mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y)$ and Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $d \ge 1$, $1 \le d' \le d$ and each $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1]^{d'} \times (-1, \infty)^{d-d'}$ the maximal operator*

$$\mathbb{K}_{*,1}^\alpha f(x) = \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \chi_{\{x_i/2 \le y_i \le 2x_i \text{ for } i=1,\dots,d'\}} \mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y), \qquad f \ge 0,$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_α.

Lemma 3.4. *For each $\beta \le -1$ the one-dimensional operator*

$$N_1^\beta f(x) = \int_0^\infty \chi_{\{y<x/2 \text{ or } y>2x\}} \bigg[(xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{0<s\le 1/4} (1-s)^{-2\beta} K_s^{-\beta}(x,y)\bigg] f(y)\, d\nu_\beta(y), \qquad f \ge 0,$$

is of strong type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_β.

Lemma 3.5. *For each $\beta \le -1$ and $\gamma > -1$ the one-dimensional operators*

$$N_2^\beta f(x) = \chi_{(0,1)}(x) \int_0^\infty \bigg[(xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{1/4<s<1} (1-s)^{-2\beta} K_s^{-\beta}(x,y)\bigg] f(y)\, d\nu_\beta(y), \qquad f \ge 0,$$

$$N_3^\gamma f(x) = \chi_{(0,1)}(x) \int_0^\infty \bigg[\sup_{1/4<s<1} K_s^\gamma(x,y)\bigg] f(y)\, d\nu_\gamma(y), \qquad f \ge 0,$$

are of strong type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_β and ν_γ, respectively.

One could strengthen Lemma 3.5 by moving the characteristic functions under the integrals and then replacing them by $\chi_{\{x/y \ge 3/4 \text{ or } x \le 1\}}$. Since this is not needed for our purpose, we leave the details to interested readers.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $d \geq 1$, $1 \leq d' \leq d$ and each $\alpha \in (-\infty,-1]^{d'} \times (-1,\infty)^{d-d'}$ the operator*

$$\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}_{*,2} f(x) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d_1}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \sup_{1/4<s<1} \mathbb{K}^{\alpha}_s(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y), \qquad f \geq 0,$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_α; here $\mathbb{R}^d_1 := [1,\infty)^d$.

It remains to give proofs of the four lemmas.

3.3. **Proofs of Lemmas 3.3-3.5.**

Proof of Lemma 3.3. What we need to prove turns out to be a consequence of the classical (non-exotic) result stated in Theorem 2.6. The relation $x' \sim y'$ means that $x_i/2 \leq y_i \leq 2x_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,d'$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{K}^{\alpha}_{*,1} f(x) &\leq \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{y'\sim x'} (x'y')^{-2\alpha'} K_s^{-\alpha'}(x',y') K_s^{\alpha''}(x'',y'') f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y) \\ &\simeq \sup_{0<s<1} \int_{y'\sim x'} K^{\check\alpha}_s(x,y) f(y)\, d\nu_{\check\alpha}(y),\end{aligned}$$

where $\check\alpha = (-\alpha', \alpha'') \in (-1,\infty)^d$. Thus, denoting for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$

$$\begin{aligned}S_n &= \big\{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}_+ : 2^{n_i} < x'_i \leq 2^{n_i+1} \text{ for } i = 1,\dots,d'\big\} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-d'}_+, \\ S_n^{\angle} &= \big\{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}_+ : 2^{n_i-1} < x'_i \leq 2^{n_i+2} \text{ for } i = 1,\dots,d'\big\} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-d'}_+,\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\alpha\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : \mathbb{K}^{\alpha}_{*,1} f(x) > \lambda\} &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}} \nu_\alpha\{x \in S_n : \mathbb{K}^{\alpha}_{*,1}(\chi_{S_n^{\angle}} f)(x) > \lambda\} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}} 2^{4\langle\alpha' n\rangle} \nu_{\check\alpha}\{x \in S_n : K^{\check\alpha}_*(\chi_{S_n^{\angle}} f)(x) > c\lambda\},\end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$ depends only on α. Since $K^{\check\alpha}_*$ is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to $\nu_{\check\alpha}$, see Theorem 2.6, we further obtain

$$\nu_\alpha\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+ : \mathbb{K}^{\alpha}_{*,1} f(x) > \lambda\big\} \lesssim \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}} 2^{4\langle\alpha' n\rangle} \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{S_n^{\angle}} f(x)\, d\nu_{\check\alpha}(x) \simeq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^{d'}} \int_{S_n^{\angle}} f(x)\, d\nu_\alpha(x).$$

Since the $S_n^{\angle}$ have finite overlap, the last sum is comparable with $\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}$. The conclusion follows. □

Proof of Lemma 3.4. The kernel of N_1^β is comparable with, see Section 2.2,

$$N_1(x,y) = \chi_{\{y<x/2 \text{ or } y>2x\}}(xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{0<s\leq 1/4} s^{-1/2}\big(x+\sqrt{s}\big)^{2\beta-1} \exp\left(-\frac{|(1+s)x-(1-s)y|^2}{8s}\right) e^{x^2}.$$

By the triangle inequality we see that

$$|(1+s)x-(1-s)y| \geq \frac{x\vee y}{8}, \qquad 0 < s \leq 1/4,$$

provided that $y < x/2$ or $y > 2x$. Combining this with Lemma 2.3 (specified to $\kappa = -1/2$, $\gamma = \beta - 1/2$, $c = 1/512$, $A = x^2$, $z = x \vee y$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}N_1(x,y) &\leq (xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{0<s\leq 1/4} s^{-1/2}\big(x+\sqrt{s}\big)^{2\beta-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(x\vee y)^2}{512 s}\right) e^{x^2} \\ &\lesssim (xy)^{-2\beta}(x\vee y)^{2\beta-2} e^{x^2} = (x\wedge y)^{-2\beta}(x\vee y)^{-2} e^{x^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof will be finished once we ensure that

$$\int_0^\infty (x\wedge y)^{-2\beta}(x\vee y)^{-2}e^{x^2}\,d\nu_\beta(x)\lesssim 1,\qquad y>0,$$

which is straightforward. □

Proof of Lemma 3.5. First we treat N_2^β. Taking into account that $x\le 1$, $1/4<s<1$ and β is negative, we see that the relevant kernel is controlled by

$$N_2(x,y)=\chi_{(0,1)}(x)(xy)^{-2\beta}\sup_{1/4<s<1}(1-s)^{-2\beta}\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{16}|(1-s)y|^2\Big).$$

This implies $N_2(x,y)\lesssim\chi_{(0,1)}(x)x^{-2\beta}$. Since $\int_0^1 x^{-2\beta}d\nu_\beta(x)<\infty$, the conclusion follows.

Passing to N_3^γ, it is immediate to see that the kernel is dominated by a constant independent of x and y, so the conclusion is trivial (ν_γ is a finite measure). □

3.4. **Proof of Lemma 3.6.** We now show the remaining, more difficult Lemma 3.6. We will need two auxiliary results which are counterparts of Lemmas 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\gamma\le 0$ and $C>0$ be fixed. Then*

$$(ab)^{-2\gamma}\exp(-C(b-a)^2)\lesssim a^{-4\gamma},\qquad a\ge 1,\quad b>0.$$

Proof. Since $(ab)^{-2\gamma}\le(ab+1)^{-2\gamma}$ and $a+1\simeq a$, the asserted estimate is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2. □

Notice that Lemma 3.7 is not true for all $a,b>0$ (take $a\to 0$ and $b\simeq 1$).

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$, $d\ge 1$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^d$ be fixed. Then one has the estimates*

(a)
$$\int_a^\infty x^\gamma e^{-x^2}\,dx\simeq a^{\gamma-1}e^{-a^2},\qquad a\ge 1,$$

(b)
$$\nu_\alpha\big\{z\in\mathbb{R}^d_1:|z|\ge a\big\}\lesssim e^{-3a^2/4},\qquad a\ge 1.$$

Proof. Part (a) is trivial, so let us focus on item (b). We assume that $d\ge 2$ since the case $d=1$ easily follows from (a). Let $\gamma_i=\max\{2\alpha_i+1,0\}$, $i=1,\dots,d$. Then, obviously

$$z^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\le z^\gamma,\qquad z\in\mathbb{R}^d_1,$$

and an application of Lemma 2.5 (c) with $b=\infty$ produces

$$\nu_\alpha\big\{z\in\mathbb{R}^d_1:|z|\ge a\big\}\le\int_{|z|\ge a}z^\gamma e^{-|z|^2}\,dz\simeq a^{|\gamma|+d-2}e^{-a^2}\lesssim e^{-3a^2/4},$$

as desired. □

Proof of Lemma 3.6. We first show that there exists $c>0$ such that

$$\sup_{1/4<s<1}\mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y)\lesssim x^{-2\alpha-\mathbf{1}}\exp\big(|x|^2-c|x|^2\theta^2\big),\qquad x\in\mathbb{R}^d_1,\quad y\in\mathbb{R}^d_+,\tag{21}$$

where $\theta=\theta(x,y)$.

Taking into account the fact that $1/4<s<1$ and then using Lemma 3.7 (with $\gamma=\alpha_i$, $a=(1+s)x_i\simeq x_i\ge 1$, $b=(1-s)y_i$, $C=1/16$, $i=1,\dots,d'$), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{K}_s^\alpha(x,y)&\lesssim(1-s)^{-2\langle\alpha'\rangle}(x'y')^{-2\alpha'}(x')^{2\alpha'-\mathbf{1}'}(x'')^{-2\alpha''-\mathbf{1}''}\exp\left(|x|^2-\frac{|(1+s)x-(1-s)y|^2}{8}\right)\\&\lesssim x^{-2\alpha-\mathbf{1}}\exp\left(|x|^2-\frac{|(1+s)x-(1-s)y|^2}{16}\right),\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $1/4 < s < 1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d_1$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$. Finally, applying (12) we obtain (21).

Observe that in case $d = 1$ the conclusion easily follows because from (21) we see that the relevant kernel is controlled by $\chi_{\{x\geq 1\}} x^{-2\alpha-1} e^{x^2}$, and this function of x is in weak $L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$, even with some margin. Thus from now on we assume that $d \geq 2$.

In order to finish the proof of Lemma 3.6 it suffices to show that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and any fixed $c > 0$ the operator

$$V^\alpha f(x) = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^d_1}(x)\, x^{-2\alpha-\mathbf{1}} e^{|x|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \exp(-c|x|^2\theta^2) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad 0 \leq f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha),$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to ν_α; here $\theta = \theta(x,y) \in [0, \pi/2]$. We will proceed in a similar way as in the proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for U^α in Section 2.4.

Let $\lambda > 0$ and $0 \leq f \in L^1(d\nu_\alpha)$. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d_1$ be such that

$$|z_0| = \min\big\{|z| : z \in \mathbb{R}^d_1,\ V^\alpha f(z) \geq \lambda\big\}, \qquad r_0 := |z_0| \geq 1.$$

The definition of z_0 is correct because the level set above is closed in $\mathbb{R}^d_1$ (the function $x \mapsto V^\alpha f(x)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d_1$) and we may assume that it is nonempty. This forces $V^\alpha f(z_0) \geq \lambda$, which in particular means that

$$z_0^{-2\alpha-\mathbf{1}} e^{r_0^2} \|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)} \geq \lambda.$$

Combining this with Lemma 3.8 (b) (taken with $a = 2r_0 \geq 2$) we get

$$\nu_\alpha\big\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d_1 : |z| \geq 2r_0\big\} \lesssim e^{-3r_0^2} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}}{\lambda} z_0^{-2\alpha-\mathbf{1}} e^{-2r_0^2} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(d\nu_\alpha)}}{\lambda},$$

the last estimate above since $1 \leq (z_0)_i \leq |z_0| = r_0$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Thus we reduced our considerations to the region $r_0 \leq |z| \leq 2r_0$.

Define

$$H = \big\{w \in S^{d-1}_+ : \text{there exists } \rho \in [r_0, 2r_0] \text{ such that } V^\alpha f(\rho w) \geq \lambda\big\},$$

and for every $w \in H$ let

$$r(w) = \min\{\rho \in [r_0, 2r_0] : V^\alpha f(\rho w) \geq \lambda\}.$$

By continuity, the minimum exists and $V^\alpha f(r(w)w) \geq \lambda$ for $w \in H$. Further, since $r(w) \simeq r_0$, we see that

$$r_0^{-2\langle\alpha\rangle-d} w^{-2\alpha-\mathbf{1}} e^{r(w)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \exp(-cr_0^2\theta^2) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y) \gtrsim \lambda,$$

where $\theta = \theta(w,y)$.

Using Lemma 3.8 (a) (specified to $\gamma = 2\langle\alpha\rangle + 2d - 1$, $a = r(w) \simeq r_0 \geq 1$) and then the above estimate, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu_\alpha\big\{z \in \mathbb{R}^d_1 : r_0 \leq |z| \leq 2r_0,\ V^\alpha f(z) \geq \lambda\big\} \\
&\leq \nu_\alpha\big\{rw : w \in H,\ r \geq r(w)\big\} = \int_H \int_{r(w)}^\infty r^{2\langle\alpha\rangle+2d-1} e^{-r^2}\, dr\, w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\simeq \int_H r_0^{2\langle\alpha\rangle+2d-2} e^{-r(w)^2} w^{2\alpha+\mathbf{1}}\, d\sigma(w) \\
&\lesssim \lambda^{-1} \int_H r_0^{d-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \exp(-cr_0^2\theta^2) f(y)\, d\nu_\alpha(y)\, d\sigma(w).
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ for V^α, it is enough to check that

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} \exp(-cr_0^2 d(w,\tilde{y})^2)\, d\sigma(w) \lesssim r_0^{2-d}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad r_0 \geq 1.$$

This integral is comparable to $\int \exp(-cr_0^2|\xi|^2)\,d\xi$ taken over the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. It is thus bounded by a constant times r_0^{1-d}.

The proof of Lemma 3.6 is complete. □

Now Theorem 3.2 and thus also Theorem 3.1 are proved.

4. Exotic Bessel semigroup maximal operator

To start with, we focus on the one-dimensional situation.

4.1. **Description of the classical and exotic Bessel contexts in dimension one.** Recall from Section 1 that the Bessel differential operator is

$$B_\nu = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\nu+1}{x}\frac{d}{dx}.$$

For a given $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider B_ν acting on functions on $\mathbb{R}_+$. This operator is formally symmetric in $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$, where, according to the notation of Section 2,

$$d\eta_\nu(x) = x^{2\nu+1}\,dx, \qquad x > 0.$$

When $\nu > -1$, there exists a classical self-adjoint extension of B_ν (acting initially on $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$), from now on denoted by B_ν^{cls}, whose spectral decomposition is given via the (modified) Hankel transform. To make this more precise, consider for each $z > 0$ and $\nu > -1$ the function

$$\varphi_z^\nu(x) = (xz)^{-\nu} J_\nu(xz), \qquad x > 0,$$

where J_ν denotes the Bessel function of the first kind and order $\nu > -1$. It is well known that φ_z^ν is an eigenfunction of B_ν with the corresponding eigenvalue z^2, that is to say $B_\nu \varphi_z^\nu = z^2 \varphi_z^\nu$. The (modified) Hankel transform is defined initially for, say, $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as

$$h_\nu f(z) = \int_0^\infty f(x)\varphi_z^\nu(x)\,d\eta_\nu(x), \qquad z > 0, \quad \nu > -1,$$

and plays in the Bessel context a role similar to that of the Fourier transform in the Euclidean setup. It is well known that h_ν extends to an isometry on $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ and $h_\nu^{-1} = h_\nu$. Further, for $f \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we have

$$h_\nu\big(B_\nu f\big)(z) = z^2 h_\nu f(z), \qquad z > 0.$$

Therefore the classical self-adjoint extension of B_ν is defined by

$$B_\nu^{\mathrm{cls}} f = h_\nu\big(z^2 h_\nu f(z)\big),$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} B_\nu^{\mathrm{cls}}$ consisting of all $f \in L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ such that $z^2 h_\nu f(z) \in L^2(d\eta_\nu)$.

The classical Bessel semigroup $W_t^\nu = \exp(-tB_\nu^{\mathrm{cls}})$ is given in $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ by the spectral formula

$$W_t^\nu f = h_\nu\big(e^{-tz^2} h_\nu f(z)\big), \qquad t \geq 0.$$

This semigroup has in $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ the integral representation

$$W_t^\nu f(x) = \int_0^\infty W_t^\nu(x,y) f(y)\,d\eta_\nu(y), \qquad x, t > 0,$$

with the integral kernel

$$\begin{aligned} W_t^\nu(x,y) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-tz^2}\varphi_z^\nu(x)\varphi_z^\nu(y)\,d\eta_\nu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2t}\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{4t}(x^2+y^2)\Big)(xy)^{-\nu} I_\nu\Big(\frac{xy}{2t}\Big), \qquad x, y, t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using standard properties of the modified Bessel function, we see that $W_t^\nu(x,y)$ is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$. Further, an application of (6) shows that the integral defining $W_t^\nu f$ converges absolutely for any $f \in L^p(d\eta_\nu)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and thus provides a pointwise

definition of $W_t^\nu f$, $t>0$, for all $f\in L^p(d\eta_\nu)$, $1\le p\le\infty$. From [45, Proposition 6.2] we know that $\{W_t^\nu\}$ is a Markovian symmetric diffusion semigroup. In particular, $W_t^\nu\mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}$ and $\{W_t^\nu\}$ is a positive and symmetric semigroup of contractions on each $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$, $1\le p\le\infty$.

We now pass to the exotic situation, which occurs when $0\neq\nu<1$. It turns out that for these ν there exists a self-adjoint extension of B_ν (considered initially on $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$) expressible in terms of the (modified) Hankel transform, but in a different way than B_ν^{cls}. In order to describe the details, observe first that for each $z>0$ the function $x\mapsto(xz)^{-2\nu}\varphi_z^{-\nu}(x)$ is an eigenfunction of B_ν with the corresponding eigenvalue z^2. Next, we introduce the exotic (modified) Hankel transform defined initially for, say, $f\in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as

$$\widetilde{h}_\nu f(z)=\int_0^\infty f(x)(xz)^{-2\nu}\varphi_z^{-\nu}(x)\,d\eta_\nu(x)=z^{-2\nu}h_{-\nu}\big(x^{2\nu}f(x)\big)(z),\qquad z>0.$$

Using the above connection with the classical Hankel transform, we see that $\widetilde{h}_\nu$ inherits some properties of h_ν. In particular, it extends to an isometry on $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ and $\widetilde{h}_\nu^{-1}=\widetilde{h}_\nu$. Further, a computation shows that $x^{2\nu}B_\nu f=B_{-\nu}\big(x^{2\nu}f\big)$, which implies $\widetilde{h}_\nu\big(B_\nu f\big)(z)=z^2\widetilde{h}_\nu f(z)$, for $z>0$ and $f\in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. This leads us to define the exotic self-adjoint extension of B_ν as

$$B_\nu^{\mathrm{exo}}f=\widetilde{h}_\nu\big(z^2\widetilde{h}_\nu f(z)\big),$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom}B_\nu^{\mathrm{exo}}$ consisting of all $f\in L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ such that $z^2\widetilde{h}_\nu f(z)\in L^2(d\eta_\nu)$.

The exotic Bessel semigroup $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu=\exp(-tB_\nu^{\mathrm{exo}})$ generated by $-B_\nu^{\mathrm{exo}}$ is given in $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ by the spectral formula

$$\widetilde{W}_t^\nu f=\widetilde{h}_\nu\big(e^{-tz^2}\widetilde{h}_\nu f(z)\big),\qquad t\ge0.$$

The related integral representation is

$$\widetilde{W}_t^\nu f(x)=\int_0^\infty\widetilde{W}_t^\nu(x,y)f(y)\,d\eta_\nu(y),\qquad x,t>0,$$

where the integral kernel is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{W}_t^\nu(x,y)&=\int_0^\infty e^{-tz^2}(xz)^{-2\nu}\varphi_z^{-\nu}(x)\,(yz)^{-2\nu}\varphi_z^{-\nu}(y)\,d\eta_\nu(z)\\&=(xy)^{-2\nu}W_t^{-\nu}(x,y),\qquad x,y,t>0.\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Since the exotic kernel can be expressed in a simple way in terms of the classical one, it inherits some properties of the latter kernel. In particular, $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu(x,y)$ is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t)\in\mathbb{R}_+^3$. Further, using (6) it is straightforward to check that for $\nu<0$ the integral defining $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu f$ converges absolutely for every $f\in L^p(d\eta_\nu)$, $1\le p\le\infty$. Furthermore, for such ν the operators $\{\widetilde{W}_t^\nu\}$ satisfy the semigroup property on each $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$, $1\le p\le\infty$, which is a direct consequence of (22). The case $0<\nu<1$ is more subtle, as in the Laguerre context. Indeed, in this range of ν we have a pencil type phenomenon. More precisely, for each $t>0$ fixed, $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu$ is well defined on $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$ and maps this space into itself if and only if $\nu+1<p<(\nu+1)/\nu$.

Observe that in view of (17) we have the bound

$$\widetilde{W}_t^\nu(x,y)<W_t^\nu(x,y),\qquad x,y,t>0,\quad -1<\nu<0.\tag{23}$$

Moreover, note that the classical and exotic Bessel settings have probabilistic interpretations analogous to those in the Laguerre case, see Section 1 and also [12, Appendix 1].

Another interesting fact is that for $\nu<0$ the operators $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu$, $t>0$, are contractive on L^∞. We even have the strict estimate

$$\widetilde{W}_t^\nu\mathbb{1}(x)<1,\qquad x,t>0,\quad \nu<0.\tag{24}$$

Indeed, proceeding as in the Laguerre context (see Section 3) and using the explicit form of $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu(x,y)$ we get

$$\widetilde{W}_t^\nu \mathbb{1}(x) = \frac{1}{2t} x^{-\nu} \exp\Big(-\frac{x^2}{4t}\Big)\, \mathcal{J}(x),$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}(x) = \int_0^\infty y^{\nu+1} \exp\Big(-\frac{y^2}{4t}\Big)\, I_{-\nu}\Big(\frac{xy}{2t}\Big)\, dy = \frac{2^{2\nu+1}}{\Gamma(1-\nu)} t^{\nu+1} x^{-\nu}\, {}_1F_1\Big(1; 1-\nu; \frac{x^2}{4t}\Big);$$

here the last identity is obtained by means of [45, Lemma 2.2]. Consequently, with the notation of [45, Section 2],

$$\widetilde{W}_t^\nu \mathbb{1}(x) = H_{1,1-\nu}\Big(\frac{x^2}{4t}\Big), \qquad x,t>0.$$

By the proof of [45, Lemma 2.3], $\widetilde{W}_t^\nu \mathbb{1}(x) < 1$ for $x,t>0$ and $\|\widetilde{W}_t^\nu \mathbb{1}\|_\infty = 1$ for all $t>0$.

4.2. **Multi-dimensional exotic Bessel context and the maximal theorem.** Now we are ready to introduce the multi-dimensional framework, which arises by 'tensorizing' the one-dimensional classical and exotic Bessel settings. Let $d \geq 1$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a multi-parameter, and recall that

$$d\eta_\nu(x) = x^{2\nu+\mathbf{1}}\, dx, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

In what follows we assume that $\nu \in A(\mathcal{E})$ for some fixed $\mathcal{E} \subset \{1,\dots,d\}$, see (19).

For each $z \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$ define

$$\Phi_z^{\nu,\mathcal{E}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d \begin{cases} (x_i z_i)^{-2\nu_i} \varphi_{z_i}^{-\nu_i}, & i \in \mathcal{E}, \\ \varphi_{z_i}^{\nu_i}, & i \notin \mathcal{E}. \end{cases}$$

These are eigenfunctions of the multi-dimensional Bessel differential operator $\mathbb{B}_\nu = \sum_{i=1}^d B_{\nu_i}$ (here B_{ν_i} acts on the ith coordinate variable) with the corresponding eigenvalues $|z|^2$. For $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ the generalized (modified) Hankel transform is given by

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} f(x) \Phi_z^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}(x)\, d\eta_\nu(x), \qquad z \in \mathbb{R}^d_+.$$

Using properties of the one-dimensional Hankel and exotic Hankel transforms, it can easily be justified that $\mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$ extends to an isometry on $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ and coincides with its inverse, $\mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}^{-1} = \mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$.

We consider the self-adjoint extension of $\mathbb{B}_\nu$ acting initially on $C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ given by

$$\mathbb{B}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f = \mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}\big(|z|^2 \mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f(z)\big),$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} \mathbb{B}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$ consisting of all $f \in L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ such that $|z|^2 \mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f(z) \in L^2(d\eta_\nu)$. Observe that for $\mathcal{E} = \emptyset$ we recover the classical multi-dimensional Bessel context considered in [4, 5, 6, 7, 15], among many other papers. Otherwise, that is when $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, the exotic situation occurs.

The semigroup $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}} = \exp(-t\mathbb{B}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}})$ is given in $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ via the $\mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$,

$$\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f = \mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}}\big(e^{-t|z|^2} \mathfrak{h}_{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f(z)\big), \qquad t \geq 0.$$

Further, it has in $L^2(d\eta_\nu)$ the integral representation

$$\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}(x,y) f(y)\, d\eta_\nu(y), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad t>0, \tag{25}$$

where the kernel is a product of the one-dimensional kernels,

$$\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}(x,y) = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}} \widetilde{W}_t^{\nu_i}(x_i,y_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}^c} W_t^{\nu_i}(x_i,y_i), \qquad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \quad t>0.$$

Obviously, $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}(x,y)$ is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t)\in\mathbb{R}_+^{2d+1}$, which follows from the analogous properties of $\widetilde{W}_t^{\nu_i}(x_i,y_i)$ and $W_t^{\nu_i}(x_i,y_i)$.

As in the Laguerre context, when $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)<0$ the integral formula (25) makes sense and provides a pointwise definition of $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}f$ on all $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$ spaces, $1\le p\le\infty$. Further, by (24) we have the inequality $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}\mathbb{1}(x)\le 1$, $x\in\mathbb{R}_+^d$, $t>0$, which is strict if $\mathcal{E}\neq\emptyset$ (for $\mathcal{E}=\emptyset$ one has $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\emptyset}\mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}$). This shows that $\{\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}\}$ is a semigroup of contractions on each $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$, $1\le p\le\infty$, and consequently it is a submarkovian symmetric diffusion semigroup, which is Markovian if and only if $\mathcal{E}=\emptyset$.

In case $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)>0$ a pencil type phenomenon occurs. More precisely, for each $t>0$ fixed, $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}f$ is defined on $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$ and maps this space into itself if and only if $1+m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)<p<1+1/m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)$.

The principal object of our study in this section is the maximal operator

$$\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}f=\sup_{t>0}\big|\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}f\big|.$$

We aim at showing the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for $\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$. This question makes sense only for ν satisfying $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)<0$, in view of the above discussion concerning the pencil type phenomenon. It is worth pointing out that for such ν and $p>1$ the operator $\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$ is $L^p(d\eta_\nu)$-bounded, by Stein's maximal theorem [52, p. 73]. On the other hand, when $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)>0$ the maximal operator $\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$ is not even defined on $L^1(d\eta_\nu)$.

The following theorem is our main result in the Bessel setting.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $d\ge 1$ and $\nu\in A(\mathcal{E})$ for some $\mathcal{E}\subset\{1,\dots,d\}$. Assume that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\nu)<0$. Then $\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\mathcal{E}}$ is bounded from $L^1(d\eta_\nu)$ to weak $L^1(d\eta_\nu)$.*

In the special case $\mathcal{E}=\emptyset$, Theorem 4.1 says that the classical multi-dimensional Bessel semigroup maximal operator is of weak type $(1,1)$. This result is already well known, see for instance [5, Theorem 1.1], [6, Theorem 1.1], [7, Theorem 2.1] or [15, Theorem 2.1]. Nevertheless, we take this opportunity to present a short and direct argument: since the kernel $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\emptyset}(x,y)$ possesses the so-called Gaussian bound related to the space of homogeneous type $(\mathbb{R}_+^d,\eta_\nu,|\cdot|)$, see [19, Lemma 4.2], the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate for $\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\emptyset}$ follows from the general theory. Actually, to verify the Gaussian bound it is enough to use (6) and Lemma 2.2 (with $\gamma=-\nu_i-1/2$, $C=1/8$, $a=\frac{x_i}{\sqrt{t}}$ and $b=\frac{y_i}{\sqrt{t}}$, $i=1,\dots,d$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu,\emptyset}(x,y)&\simeq t^{-d/2}\prod_{i=1}^d\big(x_iy_i+t\big)^{-\nu_i-1/2}\exp\bigg(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}\bigg)\\&\lesssim t^{-d/2}\prod_{i=1}^d\big(x_i+\sqrt{t}\,\big)^{-2\nu_i-1}\exp\bigg(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{8t}\bigg),\qquad x,y\in\mathbb{R}_+^d,\quad t>0,\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

and then combine the last estimate with (9).

When proving Theorem 4.1, we can make analogous reductions to those described in items (R1)–(R5) following the statement of Theorem 3.1. Indeed, instead of (16) and Theorem 2.1 we use (23) and the weak type $(1,1)$ of $\mathbb{W}_*^{\nu,\emptyset}$, respectively. Therefore we may assume that $\nu=(\nu',\nu'')\in(-\infty,-1]^{d'}\times(-1,\infty)^{d-d'}$ for some $1\le d'\le d$, and that the kernel is given by

$$\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu}(x,y)=(x'y')^{-2\nu'}\mathbb{W}_t^{-\nu',\emptyset}(x',y')\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu'',\emptyset}(x'',y''),\qquad x,y\in\mathbb{R}_+^d,\quad t>0,$$

where, as before, for $z\in\mathbb{R}^d$ we denote $z'=(z_1,\dots,z_{d'})\in\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ and $z''=(z_{d'+1},\dots,z_d)\in\mathbb{R}^{d-d'}$. Now, having in mind the product structure of $\mathbb{W}_t^{\nu}(x,y)$, we see that the proof of Theorem 4.1 boils down to showing the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $d \geq 1$, $1 \leq d' \leq d$ and each $\nu \in (-\infty,-1]^{d'} \times (-1,\infty)^{d-d'}$ the maximal operator*

$$\mathbb{W}^{\nu}_{*,1} f(x) = \sup_{t>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \chi_{\{x_i/2 \leq y_i \leq 2x_i \text{ for } i=1,\dots,d'\}} \mathbb{W}^{\nu}_t(x,y) f(y)\, d\eta_\nu(y), \qquad f \geq 0,$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to η_ν.

Proof. The proof is just a repetition of the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.3, where instead of Theorem 2.6 one should use the weak type $(1,1)$ of the classical Bessel semigroup maximal operator. □

Lemma 4.3. *For each $\beta \leq -1$ the one-dimensional operator*

$$N^\beta f(x) = \int_0^\infty \chi_{\{y<x/2 \text{ or } y>2x\}} \left[(xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{t>0} W_t^{-\beta}(x,y) \right] f(y)\, d\eta_\beta(y), \qquad f \geq 0,$$

is of strong type $(1,1)$ with respect to η_β.

Proof. Using (6) we see that the kernel of N^β is comparable with

$$N(x,y) = \chi_{\{y<x/2 \text{ or } y>2x\}} (xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{t>0} (xy+t)^{\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t} \right).$$

Further, the constraint $\{y < x/2 \text{ or } y > 2x\}$ implies $|y-x| > (x \vee y)/2$. Then, using Lemma 2.3 (with $\kappa = -1/2$, $\gamma = \beta - 1/2 \leq 0$, $c = 1/16$, $A = xy$ and $z = x \vee y$) it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} N(x,y) &\lesssim (xy)^{-2\beta} \sup_{t>0} (xy+t)^{\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x \vee y)^2}{16t} \right) \\ &\simeq (xy)^{-2\beta} (x \vee y)^{2\beta-2} = (x \wedge y)^{-2\beta} (x \vee y)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude it suffices to verify that

$$\int_0^\infty (x \wedge y)^{-2\beta} (x \vee y)^{-2}\, d\eta_\beta(x) \lesssim 1, \qquad y > 0,$$

which is trivial. □

Now Theorem 4.1 is proved.

5. Exotic Jacobi semigroup maximal operator

For the sake of clarity we first describe the one-dimensional situation.

5.1. **Description of the classical and exotic Jacobi contexts in dimension one.** Recall from Section 1 that the Jacobi differential operator is given by

$$J_{\alpha,\beta} = -(1-x^2)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \big[\beta - \alpha - (\alpha+\beta+2)x\big]\frac{d}{dx}.$$

Here $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ are the type parameters and we consider $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ acting on functions on the interval $(-1,1)$. A natural measure $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}$ in $(-1,1)$ associated with $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ has the form

$$d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta\, dx.$$

From the factorization

$$J_{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = -\big[(1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta\big]^{-1} \frac{d}{dx}\Big((1-x)^{\alpha+1}(1+x)^{\beta+1} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) \Big)$$

it is readily seen that $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ is formally symmetric in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$.

When $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > -1$, there exists a classical self-adjoint extension of $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ (acting initially on $C_c^2(-1,1)$), from now on denoted by $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}}$, whose spectral decomposition is given

by the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. The latter form an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ and one has $J_{\alpha,\beta}P_n^{\alpha,\beta} = n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$. Thus

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)\big\langle f, \breve{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}\big\rangle_{d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}} \breve{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta},$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}}$ consisting of all $f \in L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ for which this series converges in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$; here $\breve{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta} = P_n^{\alpha,\beta}/\|P_n^{\alpha,\beta}\|_{L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})}$ are the normalized Jacobi polynomials.

The classical Jacobi semigroup $T_t^{\alpha,\beta} = \exp(-tJ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}})$, $t \geq 0$, has in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ the integral representation

$$T_t^{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) f(y)\, d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(y), \qquad x \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0, \tag{27}$$

where

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-tn(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} \breve{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \breve{P}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(y), \qquad x, y \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0.$$

Although no explicit formula is known for $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$, the following qualitatively sharp estimates of this kernel were established recently; see [44, Theorem A] and [16, Theorem 7.2].

Theorem 5.1 ([16, 44])**.** *Let $\alpha, \beta > -1$. There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\big[t \vee \theta\varphi\big]^{-\alpha-1/2}\big[t \vee (\pi-\theta)(\pi-\varphi)\big]^{-\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\bigg(-c_1 \frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t}\bigg) \\ &\lesssim G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) \lesssim \big[t \vee \theta\varphi\big]^{-\alpha-1/2}\big[t \vee (\pi-\theta)(\pi-\varphi)\big]^{-\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\bigg(-c_2 \frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t}\bigg), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $\theta, \varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \leq 1$. Furthermore,

$$G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) \simeq 1, \qquad x, y \in (-1,1), \quad t \geq 1.$$

From Theorem 5.1 we see that $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ is strictly positive, while smoothness in $(x,y,t) \in (-1,1)^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ can be deduced from the series representation (see e.g. [44, Section 2]). Moreover, (27) provides a pointwise definition of $T_t^{\alpha,\beta} f$ (the defining integral converges absolutely) for $f \in L^1(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ and thus for any $f \in L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset L^1(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Since $P_0^{\alpha,\beta}$ is constant, we have $T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$, and consequently $\{T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\}$ is a Markovian symmetric diffusion semigroup. In particular, $T_t^{\alpha,\beta}$, $t > 0$, are contractions on each $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Inspired by Hajmirzaahmad [25], we now pass to exotic situations. Roughly speaking, they occur in three essentially different cases depending on whether only one or both type parameters are exotic. More precisely, we distinguish the following exotic situations:

- $0 \neq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > -1$ and only α is exotic,
- $\alpha > -1$ and $0 \neq \beta < 1$ and only β is exotic,
- $0 \neq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \neq \beta < 1$ and both α and β are exotic.

For the sake of clarity, we look at each case separately.

Assume that only α is exotic and so let $0 \neq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > -1$. Consider the system $\{(1-x)^{-\alpha} P_n^{-\alpha,\beta} : n \geq 0\}$. This is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ and we have

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}\big((1-x)^{-\alpha} P_n^{-\alpha,\beta}\big) = \big[n(n-\alpha+\beta+1) - \alpha(\beta+1)\big](1-x)^{-\alpha} P_n^{-\alpha,\beta}, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

The last two facts follow from the analogous ones for the system of Jacobi polynomials in the non-exotic case. Observe that $(1-x)^{-\alpha} P_n^{-\alpha,\beta}/\|(1-x)^{-\alpha} P_n^{-\alpha,\beta}\|_{L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})} = (1-x)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}_n^{-\alpha,\beta}$. We

define the emerging self-adjoint extension of $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ by

$$J^{\mathrm{exo,cls}}_{\alpha,\beta} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \big[n(n-\alpha+\beta+1) - \alpha(\beta+1)\big] \big\langle f, (1-x)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}^{-\alpha,\beta}_n \big\rangle_{d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}} (1-x)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}^{-\alpha,\beta}_n$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} J^{\mathrm{exo,cls}}_{\alpha,\beta}$ consisting of all $f \in L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ for which the above series converges in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$. It is easy to check that $J^{\mathrm{exo,cls}}_{\alpha,\beta}$ is non-negative in the spectral sense if and only if $\alpha < 0$.

The exotic Jacobi semigroup $\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t = \exp(-tJ^{\mathrm{exo,cls}}_{\alpha,\beta})$ is given in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ by

$$\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t[n(n-\alpha+\beta+1)-\alpha(\beta+1)]} \big\langle f, (1-x)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}^{-\alpha,\beta}_n \big\rangle_{d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}} (1-x)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}^{-\alpha,\beta}_n, \qquad t \geq 0.$$

The corresponding integral representation is

$$\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{G}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y) f(y)\, d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(y), \qquad x \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0, \tag{28}$$

with the integral kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-t[n(n-\alpha+\beta+1)-\alpha(\beta+1)]} (1-x)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}^{-\alpha,\beta}_n(x)\, (1-y)^{-\alpha} \breve{P}^{-\alpha,\beta}_n(y) \\ &= e^{t\alpha(\beta+1)} \big[(1-x)(1-y)\big]^{-\alpha} G^{-\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y), \qquad x,y \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We see that $\tilde{G}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y)$ is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t) \in (-1,1)^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Using (29) and Theorem 5.1, it is straightforward to check that for $\alpha < 0$ the integral (28) converges absolutely for every $f \in L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, providing a pointwise definition of $\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t$ on all these L^p spaces. Moreover, for such α the family of operators $\{\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t : t > 0\}$ satisfies on the L^p spaces the semigroup property, as can be seen from (29) and the analogous property for $\{T^{-\alpha,\beta}_t : t > 0\}$. On the other hand, the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ is more subtle due to a pencil phenomenon. The operators $\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t$ are bounded on L^p only for $\alpha + 1 < p < (\alpha+1)/\alpha$. In particular, $\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t \mathbb{1}$ is for each $t > 0$ an unbounded function.

The situation when only β is exotic is completely parallel. Let $\alpha > -1$ and $0 \neq \beta < 1$. The relevant orthogonal system is $\{(1+x)^{-\beta} P^{\alpha,-\beta}_n : n \geq 0\}$ and we have

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}\big((1+x)^{-\beta} P^{\alpha,-\beta}_n\big) = \big[n(n+\alpha-\beta+1) - (\alpha+1)\beta\big](1+x)^{-\beta} P^{\alpha,-\beta}_n, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

We denote the emerging self-adjoint extension by $J^{\mathrm{cls,exo}}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and the corresponding exotic Jacobi semigroup by $\{\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t\}$. Again, there is an integral representation

$$\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{G}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y) f(y)\, d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(y), \qquad x \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0, \tag{30}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y) = e^{t(\alpha+1)\beta} \big[(1+x)(1+y)\big]^{-\beta} G^{\alpha,-\beta}_t(x,y), \qquad x,y \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0. \tag{31}$$

Obviously, this kernel has properties parallel to $\tilde{G}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t(x,y)$. If $\beta < 0$, (30) defines pointwise a semigroup of operators on each $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In the opposite case, when $0 < \beta < 1$, the pencil phenomenon occurs, the condition $\beta + 1 < p < (\beta+1)/\beta$ comes into play and in particular we see that $\tilde{T}{}^{\alpha,\beta}_t \mathbb{1}$ is an unbounded function for each $t > 0$.

Finally, we focus on the situation when both α and β are exotic. In a sense, this is a combination of the two previous exotic situations. Assume that $0 \neq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \neq \beta < 1$. Consider the system $\{(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}P_n^{-\alpha,-\beta} : n \geq 0\}$. It is straightforward to verify that this is an orthogonal basis in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ and one has

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}\big((1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}P_n^{-\alpha,-\beta}\big) = \big[n(n-\alpha-\beta+1)-\alpha-\beta\big](1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}P_n^{-\alpha,-\beta}, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

Further,

$$\frac{(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}P_n^{-\alpha,-\beta}}{\|(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}P_n^{-\alpha,-\beta}\|_{L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})}} = (1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}\breve{P}_n^{-\alpha,-\beta}, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

The self-adjoint extension of $J_{\alpha,\beta}$ arising naturally in this context is given by

$$J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,exo}} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big(\big[n(n-\alpha-\beta+1)-\alpha-\beta\big] \big\langle f, (1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}\breve{P}_n^{-\alpha,-\beta} \big\rangle_{d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}} \times (1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta}\breve{P}_n^{-\alpha,-\beta} \Big)$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom} J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,exo}}$ consisting of all $f \in L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ for which the above series converges in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$. Notice that $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,exo}}$ is non-negative in the spectral sense if and only if $\alpha+\beta \leq 0$.

The exotic Jacobi semigroup $\overset{\sim,\sim}{T}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta} = \exp(-tJ_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,exo}})$, $t \geq 0$, defined spectrally in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, has the integral representation

$$\overset{\sim,\sim}{T}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta} f(x) = \int_{-1}^{1} \overset{\sim,\sim}{G}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) f(y)\, d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(y), \qquad x \in (-1,1), \quad t > 0, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\overset{\sim,\sim}{G}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y) = e^{t(\alpha+\beta)}\big[(1-x)(1-y)\big]^{-\alpha}\big[(1+x)(1+y)\big]^{-\beta} G_t^{-\alpha,-\beta}(x,y). \tag{33}$$

The kernel $\overset{\sim,\sim}{G}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ is strictly positive and smooth in $(x,y,t) \in (-1,1)^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$. By (33) and Theorem 5.1 it follows that when $\alpha < 0$ and $\beta < 0$ the integral (32) converges absolutely for $f \in L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, providing a pointwise definition of $\overset{\sim,\sim}{T}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ on the L^p spaces. Moreover, for such α, β the family $\{\overset{\sim,\sim}{T}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta} : t > 0\}$ satisfies the semigroup property on all these spaces. On the other hand, the opposite case $\alpha \vee \beta > 0$ is more subtle because of the pencil phenomenon. Then $\overset{\sim,\sim}{T}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded on L^p only for $\alpha \vee \beta + 1 < p < (\alpha \vee \beta + 1)/(\alpha \vee \beta)$. In particular, $\overset{\sim,\sim}{T}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{1} \notin L^\infty$ for each $t > 0$. In contrast with previously considered situations, the pencil phenomenon occurs here also for some parameters α, β for which the operator $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,exo}}$ is spectrally non-negative.

It is worth mentioning that there exist descriptions of the self-adjoint operators $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}}$, $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,cls}}$, $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,exo}}$, $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{exo,exo}}$ as differential operators, as in the Laguerre case; see Section 1 and [26]. For instance,

$$\operatorname{Dom} J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}} = \big\{ f \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta} : \lim_{x\to 1^-} (1-x)^{\alpha+1} f'(x) = 0,\ \lim_{x\to -1^+} (1+x)^{\beta+1} f'(x) = 0 \big\}, \tag{34}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha,\beta}$ denotes the subspace of those $f \in L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ for which $J_{\alpha,\beta} f$ exists in a weak sense and is in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$. Then the action of $J_{\alpha,\beta}^{\mathrm{cls,cls}}$ on its domain is given by the differential operator $J_{\alpha,\beta}$. Moreover, the two boundary conditions in (34) are automatically satisfied when $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$. For further details we refer to Hajmirzaahmad [25] and Hajmirzaahmad and Krall [27].

Finally, for further reference we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *The following bounds hold uniformly in $x, y \in (-1,1)$ and $t > 0$.*

(a) *If* $-1<\alpha<0$ *and* $\beta>-1$, *then* $\overset{\sim}{\cdot},\cdot G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)\le G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$.

(b) *If* $\alpha>-1$ *and* $-1<\beta<0$, *then* $\cdot,\overset{\sim}{\cdot} G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)\le G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$.

(c) *If* $-1<\alpha<0$ *and* $-1<\beta<0$, *then* $\overset{\sim}{\cdot},\overset{\sim}{\cdot} G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)\le G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$.

Proof. The comparison principle [44, Theorem 3.5] says that for $x,y\in(-1,1)$ and $t>0$

$$\big[(1-x)(1-y)\big]^{\epsilon/2}\big[(1+x)(1+y)\big]^{\delta/2}G_t^{\alpha+\epsilon,\beta+\delta}(x,y)\le e^{\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1+\frac{\epsilon+\delta}{2})t}G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$$

provided that $\alpha,\beta>-1$ and $\epsilon,\delta\ge 0$ are such that $\alpha\ge-\epsilon/2$ and $\beta\ge-\delta/2$. The proof of this result in [44] shows that one can delete the assumption $\alpha\ge-\epsilon/2$ if $\epsilon=0$, and similarly for the assumption $\beta\ge-\delta/2$ in case $\delta=0$. Taking (29) into account and applying the comparison principle with $\epsilon=-2\alpha$ and $\delta=0$ we get (a). Item (b) is analogous. To show (c), we use (33) and take $\epsilon=-2\alpha$ and $\delta=-2\beta$ in the comparison principle. □

The three inequalities of Theorem 5.2 are presumably strict. To give a heuristic justification of this, we invoke the probabilistic interpretation and point out that the kernels involved are transition probability densities for Jacobi processes that differ only by the nature of the boundary points. In the context of Theorem 5.2, the boundary points are either reflecting or killing (more precisely, for the boundary point $x=1$ reflection corresponds to non-exotic values of α and killing to exotic values of α; similarly for $x=-1$ and β).

An important conclusion from Theorem 5.2 is that, for the indicated ranges of the type parameters, the exotic Jacobi semigroups $\{\overset{\sim}{\cdot},\cdot T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\}$, $\{\cdot,\overset{\sim}{\cdot} T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\}$ and $\{\overset{\sim}{\cdot},\overset{\sim}{\cdot} T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\}$ are contractive on L^∞ and thus on all $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1\le p\le\infty$. The same should be true for wider ranges of α,β. Actually, we believe that the following stronger result holds.

Conjecture 5.3. *Let* $x\in(-1,1)$ *and* $t>0$.

(a) *If* $\alpha<0$ *and* $\beta>-1$, *then* $\overset{\sim}{\cdot},\cdot T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{1}(x)<1$.

(b) *If* $\alpha>-1$ *and* $\beta<0$, *then* $\cdot,\overset{\sim}{\cdot} T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{1}(x)<1$.

(c) *If* $\alpha<0$ *and* $\beta<0$, *then* $\overset{\sim}{\cdot},\overset{\sim}{\cdot} T_t^{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{1}(x)<1$.

We note that from Theorem 5.1 it follows that the bounds (a)–(c) of the above conjecture hold with 1 on their right-hand sides replaced by some constants depending only on α and β. This shows, in particular, that the semigroups involved are uniformly bounded on L^∞ and thus on all $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1\le p\le\infty$.

5.2. **Multi-dimensional exotic Jacobi context and the maximal theorem.** We now pass to the multi-dimensional situation. Let $d\ge1$ and let $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}^d$ be multi-parameters. Further, fix $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}\subset\{1,\dots,d\}$ and assume that $\alpha\in A(\mathcal{E})$ and $\beta\in A(\mathcal{F})$, see (19). Define

$$\mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^d\begin{cases}(1-x_i)^{-\alpha_i}(1+x_i)^{-\beta_i}\breve{P}_{n_i}^{-\alpha_i,-\beta_i}, & i\in\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{F},\\ (1-x_i)^{-\alpha_i}\breve{P}_{n_i}^{-\alpha_i,\beta_i}, & i\in\mathcal{E}\setminus\mathcal{F},\\ (1+x_i)^{-\beta_i}\breve{P}_{n_i}^{\alpha_i,-\beta_i}, & i\in\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{E},\\ \breve{P}_{n_i}^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}, & i\notin\mathcal{E}\cup\mathcal{F},\end{cases}\qquad n\in\mathbb{N}^d.$$

Then the system $\{\mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}:n\in\mathbb{N}^d\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, where now $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the product measure in $(-1,1)^d$ given by

$$d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=(\mathbb{1}-x)^\alpha(\mathbb{1}+x)^\beta\,dx.$$

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ are eigenfunctions of the Jacobi differential operator $\mathbb{J}_{\alpha,\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^d J_{\alpha_i,\beta_i}$ (each component of the sum acting on the corresponding coordinate variable) and one has

$\mathbb{J}_{\alpha,\beta}\mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} = \lambda_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}\mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^d$, where

$$\lambda_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{F}} \big[n_i(n_i-\alpha_i-\beta_i+1)-\alpha_i-\beta_i\big] + \sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}\setminus\mathcal{F}} \big[n_i(n_i-\alpha_i+\beta_i+1)-\alpha_i(\beta_i+1)\big]$$
$$+ \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{E}} \big[n_i(n_i+\alpha_i-\beta_i+1)-(\alpha_i+1)\beta_i\big] + \sum_{i\in\mathcal{E}^c\cap\mathcal{F}^c} n_i(n_i+\alpha_i+\beta_i+1).$$

We consider the self-adjoint extension of $\mathbb{J}_{\alpha,\beta}$ (acting initially on $\operatorname{span}\{\mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} : n \in \mathbb{N}^d\} \subset L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$) defined by

$$\mathbb{J}_{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}^d} \lambda_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} \big\langle f, \mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}\big\rangle_{d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}} \mathfrak{P}_n^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$$

on the domain $\operatorname{Dom}\mathbb{J}_{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ consisting of all $f \in L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ for which the above series converges in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$. Notice that the classical multi-dimensional Jacobi setting is naturally embedded in this general setup and it corresponds to $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. Otherwise, if $\mathcal{E}\cup\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, we are concerned with the exotic situation.

The semigroup $\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} = \exp(-t\mathbb{J}_{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})})$, $t \geq 0$, defined spectrally in $L^2(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, has the integral representation

$$\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f(x) = \int_{(-1,1)^d} \mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(x,y) f(y)\, d\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(y), \qquad x \in (-1,1)^d, \quad t > 0, \tag{35}$$

where, for $x, y \in (-1,1)^d$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(x,y)$$
$$= \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{F}} \widetilde{G}_t^{\widetilde{\alpha_i,\beta_i}}(x_i,y_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}\setminus\mathcal{F}} \widetilde{G}_t^{\widetilde{\alpha_i,\beta_i}}(x_i,y_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{E}} \dot{\widetilde{G}}_t^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(x_i,y_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}^c\cap\mathcal{F}^c} G_t^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(x_i,y_i).$$

Obviously, $\mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(x,y)$ is strictly positive, symmetric and smooth in $(x,y,t) \in (-1,1)^{2d} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ (this and some other facts below are immediate consequences of the analogous properties of the one-dimensional components).

When $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$ and $m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$, the formula (35) provides a pointwise definition of $\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f$ on all $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Moreover, $\{\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} : t > 0\}$ is a uniformly bounded semigroup of operators on each $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We strongly believe that this is in fact a semigroup of contractions on each $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$, but at the moment we are not able to justify this strictly in full generality (that is, for all considered α and β), see the related discussion in the one-dimensional case and Conjecture 5.3. Thus for general α, β we conjecture that $\{\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}\}$ is a submarkovian symmetric diffusion semigroup, which is Markovian if and only if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$.

In case $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) > 0$ or $m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) > 0$ a pencil phenomenon occurs. Given $t > 0$, the operator $\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ is well defined in $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ only if

$$p > 1 + m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) \vee m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta); \tag{36}$$

in particular, $\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ is not defined in $L^1(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$. Moreover, the requirement that $\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ maps $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ into $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ forces a dual restriction to (36). In particular, $\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}\mathbb{1}$ is an unbounded function.

The principal objective of this section is to investigate the maximal operator

$$\mathbb{T}_*^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f = \sup_{t>0} \big|\mathbb{T}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f\big|.$$

We assume that no pencil phenomenon occurs, which limits our considerations to α, β satisfying $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$ and $m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$. We will prove that the maximal operator satisfies the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate. Since $\mathbb{T}_*^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ is bounded on L^∞ (see the comment following Conjecture 5.3), by interpolation this will also give $L^p(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ boundedness, $1 < p < \infty$. The latter could also be concluded from Stein's maximal theorem [52, p. 73], but only for those α, β for which we know so far that the semigroup is L^p-contractive.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $d \geq 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in A(\mathcal{E}) \times A(\mathcal{F})$ for some $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$. Assume that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha) < 0$ and $m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$. Then $\mathbb{T}_*^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ is bounded from $L^1(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$ to weak $L^1(d\rho_{\alpha,\beta})$.*

Notice that in the special case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, Theorem 5.4 says that the classical (non-exotic) Jacobi semigroup maximal operator is of weak type $(1,1)$. This result is already known, see [44, Theorem 5.1]. Actually, it is a straightforward consequence of the Gaussian upper bound for the classical d-dimensional Jacobi kernel $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$ in the trigonometric parameterization $x_i = \cos\theta_i$, $y_i = \cos\varphi_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, that in dimension one was obtained essentially in [16, Theorem 7.2]. The Gaussian bound is readily seen from Theorem 5.1 and the relation (see [43, Lemma 4.2])

$$\eta_{\alpha,\beta}\big(B(\theta,r)\big) \simeq 1 \wedge \Big(r^d \prod_{i=1}^d (\theta_i + r)^{2\alpha_i+1}(\pi - \theta_i + r)^{2\beta_i+1}\Big), \qquad \theta \in (0,\pi)^d, \quad r > 0,$$

where $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a doubling measure defined in (37) below. We leave further details to interested readers.

When proving Theorem 5.4, we may restrict to $f \geq 0$, since the kernel $\mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(x,y)$ is positive. Moreover, using Theorem 5.1 we infer that

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(x,y) \lesssim \mathbb{G}_1^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(x,y), \qquad x, y \in (-1,1)^d, \quad t \geq 1,$$

and therefore we may assume that the supremum in the definition of $\mathbb{T}_*^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ is taken only over $0 < t \leq 1$. Furthermore, it is convenient to apply the trigonometric parameterization

$$x = \cos\theta := (\cos\theta_1, \dots, \cos\theta_d), \qquad y = \cos\varphi := (\cos\varphi_1, \dots, \cos\varphi_d), \qquad \theta, \varphi \in (0,\pi)^d.$$

Instead of $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}$, we use the equivalent measure

$$d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^d \theta_i^{2\alpha_i+1}(\pi - \theta_i)^{2\beta_i+1}\, d\theta, \qquad \theta \in (0,\pi)^d. \tag{37}$$

Changing the variables, we see that Theorem 5.4 will follow once we show that the weak type bound

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\alpha,\beta}\Big\{\theta \in (0,\pi)^d : \sup_{0<t\leq 1} \int_{(0,\pi)^d} \mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi) f(\varphi)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi) > \lambda\Big\} \\ \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{(0,\pi)^d} f(\theta)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

holds with a constant C independent of $\lambda > 0$ and $f \geq 0$.

To proceed, we take into account Theorem 5.1 and the relations (29), (31), (33) and introduce several auxiliary one-dimensional kernels that will be used to control $\mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\cos\theta, \cos\varphi)$:

$$K_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = \big[\theta\varphi + t\big]^{-\alpha-1/2}\big[(\pi-\theta)(\pi-\varphi) + t\big]^{-\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\Big(-c_2\frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t}\Big), \qquad \alpha, \beta > -1,$$

$$\widetilde{K}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = (\theta\varphi)^{-2\alpha} K_t^{-\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad \alpha < 0, \quad \beta > -1,$$

$$\overset{\cdot,\sim}{K}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = \big[(\pi-\theta)(\pi-\varphi)\big]^{-2\beta} K_t^{\alpha,-\beta}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad \alpha > -1, \quad \beta < 0,$$
$$\overset{\sim,\sim}{K}{}_t^{\alpha,\beta}(\theta,\varphi) = (\theta\varphi)^{-2\alpha}\big[(\pi-\theta)(\pi-\varphi)\big]^{-2\beta} K_t^{-\alpha,-\beta}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad \alpha,\beta < 0;$$

here $\theta,\varphi \in (0,\pi)$, $0 < t \le 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ is the constant from Theorem 5.1. Given $(\alpha,\beta) \in A(\mathcal{E}) \times A(\mathcal{F})$ such that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$, we now define the multi-dimensional kernel

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{K}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi)\\ &\quad= \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}\cap\mathcal{F}} \overset{\sim,\sim}{K}{}_t^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(\theta_i,\varphi_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}\setminus\mathcal{F}} \overset{\sim,\cdot}{K}{}_t^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(\theta_i,\varphi_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{E}} \overset{\cdot,\sim}{K}{}_t^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(\theta_i,\varphi_i) \prod_{i\in\mathcal{E}^c\cap\mathcal{F}^c} K_t^{\alpha_i,\beta_i}(\theta_i,\varphi_i),\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta,\varphi \in (0,\pi)^d$ and $0 < t \le 1$, and introduce the associated maximal operator

$$\mathbb{K}_*^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f(\theta) = \sup_{0<t\le 1} \int_{(0,\pi)^d} \mathbb{K}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi) f(\varphi)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi), \qquad \theta \in (0,\pi)^d$$

acting on, say, $0 \le f \in L^1(d\eta_{\alpha,\beta})$. In view of Theorem 5.1,

$$\mathbb{G}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\cos\theta,\cos\varphi) \lesssim \mathbb{K}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad \theta,\varphi \in (0,\pi)^d, \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

Therefore, proving Theorem 5.4 reduces now to showing the following result.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $d \ge 1$ and $(\alpha,\beta) \in A(\mathcal{E}) \times A(\mathcal{F})$ for some $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F} \subset \{1,\dots,d\}$. Assume that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$. Then the bound*

$$\eta_{\alpha,\beta}\big\{\theta \in (0,\pi)^d : \mathbb{K}_*^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f(\theta) > \lambda\big\} \le \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{(0,\pi)^d} f(\theta)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta)$$

holds with a constant C independent of $\lambda > 0$ and $f \ge 0$.

The proof of Theorem 5.5 boils down in a straightforward manner to the two lemmas below. To state them we need some additional notation. For a given $\mathcal{W} \subset \{1,\dots,d\}$ denote

$$Q(\mathcal{W}) = \prod_{i=1}^d \begin{cases} (\pi/4,\pi), & i \in \mathcal{W},\\ (0,3\pi/4), & i \notin \mathcal{W}.\end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $d \ge 1$, $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F},\mathcal{W} \subset \{1,\dots,d\}$ and $(\alpha,\beta) \in A(\mathcal{E}) \times A(\mathcal{F})$. Assume that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$. Then the maximal operator*

$$\mathbb{L}_{*,\mathcal{W}}^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f(\theta) = \sup_{0<t\le 1} \int_{(0,\pi)^d} \chi_{\{\theta,\varphi\in Q(\mathcal{W})\}} \mathbb{K}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi) f(\varphi)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi), \qquad f \ge 0,$$

is of weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Lemma 5.7. *In dimension $d = 1$, let $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F} \subset \{1\}$ and $(\alpha,\beta) \in A(\mathcal{E}) \times A(\mathcal{F})$. Assume that $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$. Then the operator*

$$N^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})} f(\theta) = \int_0^\pi \chi_{\{|\theta-\varphi|\ge\pi/2\}} \bigg[\sup_{0<t\le 1} \mathbb{K}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi)\bigg] f(\varphi)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi), \qquad f \ge 0,$$

is of strong type $(1,1)$ with respect to $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$.

It remains to prove these two lemmas. The idea of the first proof below relies on reducing the problem to an application of the maximal theorem from the Bessel context that was obtained in Section 4.

Proof of Lemma 5.6. We first consider the special case $\mathcal{W} = \emptyset$, which means the restriction $\theta, \varphi \in (0, 3\pi/4)^d$. Observe that in the one-dimensional case, for $\gamma, \delta > -1$ fixed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{\gamma,\delta}(\theta,\varphi) &\simeq \big[\theta\varphi + t\big]^{-\gamma-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\bigg(- c_2 \frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t} \bigg) \\ &\simeq W^{\gamma}_{t/(4c_2)}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad \theta,\varphi \in (0,3\pi/4), \quad 0 < t \le 1, \end{aligned}$$

where W_t^γ is the kernel of the classical one-dimensional Bessel semigroup considered in Section 4; the last comparability above follows from (26). Consequently, if $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{K}_t^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi) \simeq \mathbb{W}^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}_{t/(4c_2)}(\theta,\varphi), \qquad \theta,\varphi \in (0,3\pi/4)^d, \quad 0 < t \le 1,$$

which together with the fact that the measures $\eta_{\alpha,\beta}$ and η_α are comparable in $(0,3\pi/4)^d$ implies

$$\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}_{*,\emptyset} f(\theta) \lesssim \chi_{(0,3\pi/4)^d}(\theta)\, \mathbb{W}^{\alpha,\mathcal{E}}_* \big(f \chi_{(0,3\pi/4)^d} \big)(\theta), \qquad \theta \in (0,\pi)^d.$$

The conclusion for $\mathcal{W} = \emptyset$ now follows directly from Theorem 4.1.

The general case $\mathcal{W} \subset \{1,\dots,d\}$ follows from this by simple symmetry arguments. To proceed, define sets

$$\check{\mathcal{E}} = (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{W}) \cup (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{W}^c), \qquad \check{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{W}) \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{W}^c),$$

and multi-parameters $\check{\alpha}, \check{\beta}$ by

$$\check{\alpha}_i = \begin{cases} \beta_i, & i \in \mathcal{W}, \\ \alpha_i, & i \in \mathcal{W}^c, \end{cases} \qquad \check{\beta}_i = \begin{cases} \alpha_i, & i \in \mathcal{W}, \\ \beta_i, & i \in \mathcal{W}^c. \end{cases}$$

Further, let $\Psi\colon (0,\pi)^d \to (0,\pi)^d$ be the bijection determined by

$$\Psi(\theta)_i = \begin{cases} \pi - \theta_i, & i \in \mathcal{W}, \\ \theta_i, & i \notin \mathcal{W}, \end{cases} \qquad i = 1,\dots,d.$$

Then one easily checks that

$$\|f \circ \Psi\|_{L^1(d\eta_{\check{\alpha},\check{\beta}})} = \|f\|_{L^1(d\eta_{\alpha,\beta})}, \qquad \big(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}_{*,\mathcal{W}} f\big) \circ \Psi = \mathbb{L}^{(\check{\alpha},\check{\mathcal{E}}),(\check{\beta},\check{\mathcal{F}})}_{*,\emptyset}(f \circ \Psi)$$

(notice that $m_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}(\check{\alpha}), m_{\check{\mathcal{F}}}(\check{\beta}) < 0$ if and only if $m_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha), m_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta) < 0$). Combining these relations with the already known weak type $(1,1)$ of the operator $\mathbb{L}^{(\check{\alpha},\check{\mathcal{E}}),(\check{\beta},\check{\mathcal{F}})}_{*,\emptyset}$, we arrive at the desired conclusion. □

Proof of Lemma 5.7. To begin with, we claim that the kernel of $N^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}$ is controlled by $\mathbb{K}_1^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi)$. To see this it is enough to check that, for $\alpha, \beta > -1$ fixed, the bound

$$\chi_{\{|\theta-\varphi| \ge \pi/2\}} \big[\theta\varphi + t\big]^{-\alpha-1/2} \big[(\pi-\theta)(\pi-\varphi) + t\big]^{-\beta-1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \exp\bigg(- c_2 \frac{(\theta-\varphi)^2}{t} \bigg) \lesssim 1,$$

holds uniformly in $\theta, \varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \le 1$. This, however, is straightforward. Indeed, the left-hand side here is easily dominated, up to a multiplicative constant, by $t^{-\alpha-\beta-5/2} \exp(-c_2 \frac{\pi^2}{4t}) \lesssim 1$. The claim follows.

Now, to conclude it suffices to verify that

$$\int_0^\pi \mathbb{K}_1^{(\alpha,\mathcal{E}),(\beta,\mathcal{F})}(\theta,\varphi)\, d\eta_{\alpha,\beta}(\theta) \lesssim 1, \qquad \varphi \in (0,\pi),$$

which is elementary. Details are left to the reader. □

Now Theorem 5.5 and thus also Theorem 5.4 are proved.

References

[1] H. Aimar, L. Forzani, R. Scotto, *On Riesz transforms and maximal functions in the context of Gaussian harmonic analysis*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 2137–2154.

[2] A. Andersson, P. Sjögren, *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck theory in finite dimension*, preprint 2012:12, ISSN 1652–9715, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden 2012, 1–36.

[3] C. Balderrama, W. Urbina, *Fractional integration and fractional differentiation for d-dimensional Jacobi expansions*, Special functions and orthogonal polynomials, 1–14, Contemp. Math. 471, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[4] J.J. Betancor, A.J. Castro, J. Curbelo, *Spectral multipliers for multidimensional Bessel operators*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 17 (2011), 932–975.

[5] J.J. Betancor, A.J. Castro, J. Curbelo, *Harmonic analysis operators associated with multidimensional Bessel operators*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 142 (2012), 945–974.

[6] J.J. Betancor, A.J. Castro, P.L. De Nápoli, J.C. Fariña, L. Rodríguez-Mesa, *Weak type* $(1,1)$ *estimates for Caffarelli-Calderón generalized maximal operators for semigroups associated with Bessel and Laguerre operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), 251–261.

[7] J.J. Betancor, A.J. Castro, A. Nowak, *Calderón-Zygmund operators in the Bessel setting*, Monatsh. Math. 167 (2012), 375–403.

[8] J.J. Betancor, J. Dziubański, J.L. Torrea, *On Hardy spaces associated with Bessel operators*, J. Anal. Math. 107 (2009), 195–219.

[9] J.J. Betancor, J.C. Fariña, T. Martínez, L. Rodríguez-Mesa, *Higher order Riesz transforms associated with Bessel operators*, Ark. Mat. 46 (2008), 219–250.

[10] J.J. Betancor, J.C. Fariña, A. Sanabria, *On Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Bessel operators*, Glasg. Math. J. 51 (2009), 55–70.

[11] J.J. Betancor, E. Harboure, A. Nowak, B. Viviani, *Mapping properties of fundamental operators in harmonic analysis related to Bessel operators*, Studia Math. 197 (2010), 101–140.

[12] A.N. Borodin, P. Salminen, *Handbook of Brownian motion: facts and formulae*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2002.

[13] L.A. Caffarelli, C.P. Calderón, *On Abel summability of multiple Jacobi series*, Colloq. Math. 30 (1974), 277–288.

[14] C.P. Calderón, W.O. Urbina, *On Abel summability of Jacobi polynomials series, the Watson kernel and applications*, Illinois J. Math. 57 (2013), 343–371.

[15] A.J. Castro, T.Z. Szarek, *Calderón-Zygmund operators in the Bessel setting for all possible type indices*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 30 (2014), 637–648.

[16] T. Coulhon, G. Kerkyacharian, P. Petrushev, *Heat kernel generated frames in the setting of Dirichlet spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012), 995–1066.

[17] F. Dai, Y. Xu, *Approximation theory and harmonic analysis on spheres and balls*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2013.

[18] U. Dinger, *Weak type* $(1,1)$ *estimates of the maximal function for the Laguerre semigroup in finite dimensions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 8 (1992), 93–120.

[19] J. Dziubański, M. Preisner, B. Wróbel, *Multivariate Hörmander-type multiplier theorem for the Hankel transform*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 19 (2013), 417–437.

[20] L. Forzani, E. Sasso, R. Scotto, *Weak-type inequalities for higher order Riesz-Laguerre transforms*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009), 258–274.

[21] L. Forzani, E. Sasso, R. Scotto, *Weak-type inequality for conjugate first order Riesz-Laguerre transforms*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 17 (2011), 854–878.

[22] J. García-Cuerva, G. Mauceri, S. Meda, P. Sjögren, J.L. Torrea, *Maximal operators for the holomorphic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup*, J. London Math. Soc. 67 (2003), 219–234.

[23] P. Graczyk, J-J. Loeb, I.A. López, A. Nowak, W.O. Urbina, *Higher order Riesz transforms, fractional derivatives, and Sobolev spaces for Laguerre expansions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005), 375–405.

[24] C.E. Gutiérrez, A. Incognito, J.L. Torrea, *Riesz transforms, g-functions, and multipliers for the Laguerre semigroup*, Houston J. Math. 27 (2001), 579–592.

[25] M. Hajmirzaahmad, *Jacobi polynomial expansions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 181 (1994), 35–61.

[26] M. Hajmirzaahmad, *Laguerre polynomial expansions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 59 (1995), 25–37.

[27] M. Hajmirzaahmad, A.M. Krall, *Singular second-order operators: the maximal and minimal operators, and selfadjoint operators in between*, SIAM Rev. 34 (1992), 614–634.

[28] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on analysis on metric spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[29] N.N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, Dover, New York, 1972.
[30] L. Lorch, *Inequalities for some Whittaker functions*, Arch. Math. 3 (1967), 1–9.
[31] R. Macías, C. Segovia, J.L. Torrea, *Heat-diffusion maximal operators for Laguerre semigroups with negative parameters*, J. Funct. Anal. 229 (2005), 300–316.
[32] G. Mauceri, M. Spinelli, *Riesz transforms and spectral multipliers of the Hodge-Laguerre operator*, J. Funct. Anal. 269 (2015), 3402–3457.
[33] T. Menárguez, S. Pérez, F. Soria, *The Mehler maximal function: a geometric proof of the weak type 1*, J. London Math. Soc. 61 (2000), 846–856.
[34] B. Muckenhoupt, *Poisson integrals for Hermite and Laguerre expansions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1969), 231–242.
[35] B. Muckenhoupt, *Conjugate functions for Laguerre expansions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (1970), 403–418.
[36] B. Muckenhoupt, *Mean convergence of Hermite and Laguerre series. I, II.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (1970), 419–431; ibid. 147 (1970), 433–460.
[37] B. Muckenhoupt, *Mean convergence of Cesàro means of Laguerre expansions*, Harmonic analysis, pp. 79–88, Contemp. Math. 411, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
[38] B. Muckenhoupt, E.M. Stein, *Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 17–92.
[39] B. Muckenhoupt, D.W. Webb, *Two-weight norm inequalities for Cesàro means of Laguerre expansions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 1119–1149.
[40] A. Nowak, *On Riesz transforms for Laguerre expansions*, J. Funct. Anal. 215 (2004), 217–240.
[41] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, *Riesz transforms for Jacobi expansions*, J. Anal. Math. 104 (2008), 341–369.
[42] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, *The multi-dimensional pencil phenomenon for Laguerre heat-diffusion maximal operators*, Math. Ann. 344 (2009), 213–248.
[43] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, *Calderón-Zygmund operators related to Jacobi expansions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012), 717–749.
[44] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, *Sharp estimates of the Jacobi heat kernel*, Studia Math. 218 (2013), 219–244.
[45] A. Nowak, K. Stempak, *On L^p-contractivity of Laguerre semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. 56 (2012), 433–452.
[46] A. Nowak, T.Z. Szarek, *Calderón-Zygmund operators related to Laguerre function expansions of convolution type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 388 (2012), 801–816.
[47] E. Sasso, *Spectral multipliers of Laplace transform type for the Laguerre operator*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 69 (2004), 255–266.
[48] E. Sasso, *Functional calculus for the Laguerre operator*, Math. Z. 249 (2005), 683–711.
[49] E. Sasso, *Maximal operators for the holomorphic Laguerre semigroup*, Math. Scand. 97 (2005), 235–265.
[50] E. Sasso, *Weak type estimates for Riesz-Laguerre transforms*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 75 (2007), 397–408.
[51] P. Sjögren, *On the maximal function for the Mehler kernel*, Harmonic Analysis, Cortona, 1982, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 992 (Springer, 1983) 73–82.
[52] E.M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Annals of Math. Studies, Vol. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.

Adam Nowak,
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Śniadeckich 8, 00–656 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: anowak@impan.pl

Peter Sjögren,
Mathematical Sciences, University of Gothenburg
Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology
SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
E-mail address: peters@chalmers.se

Tomasz Z. Szarek,
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,
Śniadeckich 8, 00–656 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: szarektomaszz@gmail.com